

Optimal Promotion Policies In Hierarchical Grade Structures

¹S. Udayabaskaran, ²B Thilaka, ³Janaki Sivasankaran

¹Department of Mathematics, Vel Tech Technical University, Avadi, Chennai 600062, India. ^{2,3}Applied Mathematics Department, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur, Chennai, India 602117

¹udayabaskaran.s@gmail.com, ²bthilaka@svce.ac.in, ³jayyen.janaki@gmail.com

Article Info Volume 83 Page Number: 3967-3971 Publication Issue: May - June 2020

Article History Article Received: 19 November 2019 Revised: 27 January 2020 Accepted: 24 February 2020 Publication: 12 May 2020

Abstract

Recruitment and Promotion of personnel are effective control mechanisms in human resource management. Optimal policies for promotion are therefore essential in human resource management. Optimal promotion policy models are proposed when a) the impact of recruitment cost is considered under the assumption that the cost of promotion and recruitment are functions of the grade size and b) the impact of attrition cost is considered together with a certain probability of a performance-based promotion, where there are two types of promotions namely, performance-based and career advancement promotions in the grade.

Keywords: Optimal policy for promotion, Grade structured manpower, Human Resource planning

1. Introduction

Medium and large organisations typically adopt a hierarchical grade structure for effective and efficient management and operational control. The grade sizes generally depend on the nature of the industry. Grade sizes are determined by recruitment, promotion and attrition. In human resource management, the recruitment into a grade and promotion from one grade to another are better controlled than attrition from a grade. Hence, optimal promotion policies are necessary for human resource management.

Young & Vassiliou [6] have proposed a non-linear manpower model on the promotion of staff considering an expanding non-homogeneous Markov chain model. The application of this model to a larger organisation that is subjected to reorganisation has been proposed by Vassiliou [3]. Grinold & Marshall [1] have proposed a faculty promotion policy using a linear programming approach wherein the inflows to the manpower system are the decision variables and the discounted cost is the objective function. Kalamatianou [2] has proposed a model in which promotion probabilities are functions of seniority in the organisation.

Setlhare [4] has proposed an optimal promotion policy for continuous time manpower model when the cost of promotion from a grade at time t is a function of the number of persons in that grade. In this paper, the impact of recruitment cost into a grade is considered while proposing an optimal promotion policy model wherein both the recruitment cost and promotion cost are functions of the number of persons in that grade. Subramanian [5] has discussed an optimal promotion policy when two types of promotion namely; regular or performance-based promotion and automatic or careeradvancement promotion are considered. In the second model of this paper, in proposing an optimal promotion policy, the impact of attrition cost is considered together with a certain probability of passing a screening test for performance-based promotion, when there are two types of promotion namely performance-based promotion and career-advancement promotion in the grade.

2. Model Description and Analysis

2.1. Model I

A continuous time, manpower model is assumed, for which an optimal promotion policy is derived. It is assumed that the manpower system has k grades. The cost of promotion and recruitment are assumed to be functions of the number of persons in a grade, the demand for promotion from the grade, the market cost for the person to be recruited and the current cost of the person considered for promotion. In this paper,



it is assumed that the cost of promoting a person from grade i to i+1 (i = 1, 2, 3...k-1) is a function of the number of persons present in grade i at time t. It is further assumed that the cost of recruitment of a person to grade i at time t is also a function of the number of persons present in grade i at time t. Notation:

Let $S_i(t)$ denote the number of personnel in grade i at time t, i = 1, 2, ...k.

Let $F[S_i(t)]$ denote the rate of promotion from grade (i-1) to grade i in time (t, t+dt) given that there are $S_i(t)$ personnel in grade i, i = 2, 3 ...k.

Let $P[S_i(t)]$ denote the rate of promotion from grade i to grade (i+1) in time (t, t+dt) given that there are $S_i(t)$ personnel in grade i, i = 1, 2, 3 ...k-1.

Let $r[S_i(t)]$ denote the rate of recruitment to grade i in time (t, t+dt) given that there are $S_i(t)$ personnel in grade i, i = 1, 2, 3 ...k.

Let $C[S_i(t)]$ denote the cost of promoting a person from grade i to grade (i+1) i = 1, 2, 3 ... k-1 as well as the cost of promoting a person from grade (i-1) to grade i, $i=2, 3 \dots k$ at in time (t, t+dt) when there are $S_i(t)$ personnel in grade i, $i = 2, 3 \dots k$.

Let $d[S_i(t)]$ denote the cost of recruiting a person to grade i at time (t, t+dt) given that there are $S_i(t)$ personnel in grade i at time t, i = 1, 2, 3 ...k.

Optimal policy - model 1

The change in the size of grade i at time t is obtained as:

$$\frac{dS_{i}(t)}{dt} = F[S_{i}(t)] - P[S_{i}(t)] + r[S_{i}(t)]$$

The total cost in grade i in time (t, t + dt) is given by:

$$C(t, t + dt) = C[S_i(t)]S_i(t)[F[S_i(t)] + P[S_i(t)]] + d[S_i(t)][S_i(t)][r[S_i(t)]]$$
(2)

The optimal cost is given by the solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i} - \frac{a}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i'} \right) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$f = S_i(t) [C[S_i(t)] [2F[S_i(t)] - S'_i(t) + r[S_i(t)]] + d[S_i(t)][r[S_i(t)]]]$$
(4)

Hence,

$$\begin{cases} C(S_i)[2F(S_i) - S'_i(t) + r(S_i)] + d(S_i)r(S_i) \} \\ + S_i(t) \frac{d}{dS_i}[C[S_i(t)][2F[S_i(t)] + r[S_i(t)] - S'_i(t)] + d[S_i(t)]r[S_i(t)]] \\ + \{S'_i(t)C(S_i) + S_i(t)C'(S_i)S'_i(t)\} = 0 \end{cases}$$

This implies that
$$\begin{bmatrix} C[S_i(t)][2F[S_i(t)] + r[S_i(t)]] + d[S_i(t)]r[S_i(t)] \end{bmatrix} = \frac{k}{S_i(t)}$$
(5)

When $r[S_i(t)] = 0$ and $d[S_i(t)] = 0$, the result derived by SetIhare (2007) $F[S_i(t)] = \frac{k}{s_i(t)c[s_i(t)]}$ obtained.

In model 1, two cases are illustrated with different assumptions for $C(S_i)$, $d(S_i)$ and $r(S_i)$.

In case 1, $C(S_i) = d(S_i) = constant_{and} r(S_i)_{is a}$ linear function of the grade size S_{i} . This is a general case where the cost of promotion is equal to the cost of recruitment and both are independent of the size of the grade; the recruitment rate depends on the size of the grade and is a linear function of the grade size.

In case 2, $C(S_i)$, $d(S_i)$ and $r(S_i)$ are linear functions of the grade size S_{i} . Such a scenario could manifest in situations when the cost of recruitment increases for additional recruitment of rare skills.

2.1.1. Result 1:

Let $C(S_i)$ and $d(S_i)$ be constants while $r(S_i)$ be a linear function of S_i . Let $C(S_i) = d(S_i) = d r(S_i) = \gamma S_i$ where d and Υ are constants.

Then $F(S_i) = \frac{k - 2d\gamma S_i^2}{2}$ 2d Si

Substituting in equations (1) and (2) the total cost Cis obtained.

An illustration of case i is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Optimal promotion policy for case i

When the grade size increases, the promotion rate F(S) decreases. The promotion rate increases when the rate of recruitment decreases

2.1.2. Result 2:

Let
$$C(S_i)$$
, $d(S_i)$ and $r(S_i)$ be linear functions of S_i .
 $C(S_i) = cS_i$
 $d(S_i) = dS_i$
 $r(S_i) = \gamma S_i$ where c, d and Y are constants
 $F(S_i) = \frac{k - \gamma S_i^3 (c+d)}{2cS_i^2}$



Substituting in equations (1) and (2) the total cost \boldsymbol{C} is obtained.

An illustration of case ii is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 2: Optimal promotion policy for case ii

When the grade size increases, the promotion rate F(S) decreases. The promotion rate increases when the rate of recruitment decreases.

A comparison of the optimal promotion rate F(S) in case ii when C(S) = D(S), with the optimal promotion rate F(S) in case i is shown in Figure 3



Figure 3: Comparison of case i and case ii

The optimal promotion rate computed in case i is higher than that computed in case ii. This reflects the impact of C(S) and D(S) as linear functions of the grade size.

2.2 Model II

A human resource system with k grades is considered. For any grade i, i = 1, 2... (k-1), let $(0, T_i)$ be the time interval for which promotions from the ith to the (i+1)th grade are considered. Two types of promotion are considered namely: I Regular promotion (Performance-based): Screening tests are conducted as and when a vacancy arises and only one person (with the maximum score) is promoted to grade (i+1). Let P be the probability that a person is promoted to grade (i+1) after the screening test.

II Career advancement promotion (Time-bound): At the end of $(0, T_i)$, the eligible personnel in grade i are promoted to grade (i+1).

It is assumed that vacancies in grade (i+1) arise as a renewal process. It is also assumed that:

1. The vacancies that arise in grade (i+1) gives rise to a demand for a regular promotion (filled by conducting screening tests) from the i^{th} grade.

2. The screening test is conducted only at the instant when the vacancy arises.

3. Let k be the number of vacancies for regular promotions during $(0, T_i)$.

4. Each regular promotion is for one person only. The cost of one regular promotion from grade i to grade (i+1) is C_{1i} .

5. The eligible personnel in grade i at the end of $(0, T_i)$ are promoted to grade (i+1). The cost of career advancement (time-bound) promotion from grade i to grade (i+1) is C_{2i} .

6. Any vacancy that is filled up in $(0, T_i)$ will not be considered for promotion at the end of $(0, T_i)$.

7. F(T) and f(T) are the c.d.f and p.d.f of the interarrival time of vacancies.

8. $F^{(r)}(T)$ and $f^{(r)}(T)$ are the r-fold convolutions of F(T) and f(T).

9. The rate of attrition in grade i is η_i . The cost of attrition from grade i is C_{3i} .

10. The size of the ith grade at the beginning of $(0, T_i)$ is N_i .

Optimal policy

Let C_{T_i} denote the expected total cost of promotion and attrition. Using renewal theory argument,

$$C_{T_{i}} = C_{1i} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{i}} kp \left[F^{(k)}(T_{i}) - F^{(k+1)}(T_{i}) \right] + C_{2i} \sum_{k=0}^{N_{i}} [N_{i} - kp - \eta_{i}k(1-p)] \left[F^{(k)}(T_{i}) - F^{(k+1)}(T_{i}) \right] + C_{3i} \sum_{k=0}^{N_{i}} [\eta_{i}k(1-p)] \left[F^{(k)}(T_{i}) - F^{(k+1)}(T_{i}) \right]$$
(6)

$$\frac{d(C_{T_i})}{dT_i} = C_{1i} \sum_{k=0}^{N_i} kp \left[f^{(k)}(T_i) - f^{(k+1)}(T_i) \right] \\
+ C_{2i} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\N_i}}^{N_i} [N_i - kp - \eta_i k(1-p)] \left[f^{(k)}(T_i) - f^{(k+1)}(T_i) \right] \\
+ C_{2i} \sum_{\substack{k=0\\N_i}}^{N_i} [\eta_i k(1-p)] \left[f^{(k)}(T_i) - f^{(k+1)}(T_i) \right]$$
(7)



For an optimal
$$C_{T_i}$$
, $\frac{d(c_{T_i})}{dT_i} = 0$

Hence,

$$\frac{p(c_{1i}-c_{2i})+\eta_i(1-p)(c_{3i}-c_{2i})}{c_{2i}} = \frac{N_i f^{(N_{i+1})}(T_i)}{\sum_{k=0}^{N_i} f^{(k)}(T_i) - N_i f^{(N_{i+1})}(T_i)}$$
(8)

When $\eta_i = 0$ and p = 1, the result derived by Subramanian [5]

$$\frac{(C_{1i} - C_{2i})}{C_{2i}} = \frac{N_i f^{(N_{i+1})}(T_i)}{\sum_{k=0}^{N_i} f^{(k)}(T_i) - N_i f^{(N_{i+1})}(T_i)}$$
 is obtained.

Special Case

If the vacancies arrive as a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda > 0$, then the inter-arrival time follows an exponential distribution, $f(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$ $\lambda > 0$, t > 0. Let $f^{(k)}(t)$ denote the k-fold convolution of f(t). The inter-arrival time upto the first k arrival is: $f^{(k)}(t) = \lambda \frac{(\lambda t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$, $\lambda > 0$, t > 0Equation (8) now becomes, $(\lambda t)^{N_i}$

$$\frac{p(C_{1i} - C_{2i}) + \eta_i(1 - p)(C_{3i} - C_{2i})}{C_{2i}} = \frac{N_i \frac{(N_i + 1)}{(N_i)!}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \frac{(\lambda, T_i)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} - N_i \frac{(\lambda, T_i)^{N_i}}{(N_i)!}}$$
(9)

Solving the above equation, the optimal time T_i^* is obtained.

2.2.1. Result

The optimal cost is obtained from equation (6). The optimal cost is given by:

$$C_{T_{i}}^{*} = N_{i}C_{2i}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N_{i}} \frac{(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})^{\kappa}}{k!} e^{-(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})}\right) + \left(pC_{1i} - (p + \eta_{i} - \eta_{i}p)C_{2i} + \eta_{i}(1 - p)C_{3i}\right)N_{i}e^{-(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})}\frac{(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})^{N_{i}}}{N_{i}!} + (pC_{1i} - (p + \eta_{i} - \eta_{i}p)C_{2i} + \eta_{i}(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})C_{3i}(1 - p))\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_{i-1}} \frac{(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}e^{-(\lambda \tau_{i}^{*})}\right)$$
(10)

The behaviour of optimal time and cost for various grade sizes N are illustrated by Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. The values of the parameters considered in the illustration are:

$$C_1 = 25, C_2 = 20, C_3 = 30, p = 0.1, \eta = 0.0005 and \lambda = 0.5.$$

The parameter values are approximate values considered for illustrative purposes.



Figure 4: Optimal time for promotion



Figure 5: Optimal cost of promotion

The optimal time for promotion increases with the grade size for the given values of C_{1i} , C_{2i} , C_{3i} , p, η and λ . The corresponding optimal cost of promotion increases with the grade size.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, two models for optimal promotion policy in manpower systems are proposed. The cost of recruitment into the grade where the cost of promotion and the cost of recruitment are functions of the grade size has been considered in the first model. In the second model, two types of promotion namely: performance-based promotion and career advancement promotion, the impact of attrition cost and a certain probability of passing a screening test for performance-based promotion are considered in proposing an optimal policy.

References

 R C. Grinold and K T. Marshall, "Manpower Planning Models-V Optimization models".Naval Post Graduate School, Monterey, California. October 1975.



- [2] Kalamatianou, "A model for responding to promotion blockages". Journal of Applied Probability. Vol 25, PP. 268-278, 1988.
- [3] P.-C.G Vassiliou, "A high order non-linear Markovian model for promotion in manpower systems". Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: A, 141 (1978), pp. 86–94.
- [4] K. Setlhare, "Optimization and estimation study of manpower planning models", University of Pretoria, 2007.
- [5] V. Subramanian, "An optimal policy for time bound promotion in a hierarchical manpower system", International Journal of Information and Management Systems. Vol 12 No. 2 pp:179-184, 1996.
- [6] A.Young, and P.-C.G.Vassiliou, "A Non-linear Model on the Promotion of Staff". Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: A 1974. 137: p.584.

Authors



Swaminathan Udayabaskaran received his MSc and PhD from Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, Chennai, India. He is at present working as Professor of Mathematics in Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi, Chennai, India. Earlier he worked as Reader in Mathematics at Presidency College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. His research interest includes stochastic analysis of queueing systems, inventory system sand biological systems. He has published many research articles in reputed international journals of mathematical sciences.



B.Thilaka received her Masters degree in Mathematics from the University of Madras, pre-doctoral degree in commutative algebra from Pondicherry University and earned her Doctoral degree in stochastic processes from Anna University, Chennai. Her area of interest includes stochastic models in Biological systems and Human Resource management, image processing, pattern recognition and cryptography. She is a contributory author for the fourth edition of the book 'Probability, Statistics and Random Processes for Engineers', Pearson International. She has published many research articles in reputed international journals of mathematical sciences. Currently, she is a Professor at the

Applied Mathematics Department of Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur, Chennai, India.



Janaki Sivasankaran received her Masters degree in Mathematics from the University of Madras and management degree from the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, India. She received her Doctoral degree from Anna University, Chennai. She currently heads the Analytics practice of Novacis Digital Private Limited, IIT Madras Research Park, Chennai.