

# Study the Correlations of the Properties of the Host Galaxy Based on a Sample of Spitzer/IRAS Spiral Galaxies

Ismaeel A. Al-Baidhany<sup>1</sup>, Sami Salman Chiad<sup>1</sup>, Wasmaa A. Jabbar<sup>1</sup>, Nadir Fadhil Habubi<sup>1</sup>\*, Khalid Haneen Abass<sup>2</sup>, Widad Hano Albanda<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, College of Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq, ismaeel\_2000@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq, dr.sami@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq, wasmaajabbar@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq,nadirfadhil@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq
<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon, Iraq, pure.khalid.haneen@uobabylon.edu.iq
<sup>3</sup>Department of Science, College of of Basic Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq,albandawidad@gmail.com

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: nadirfadhil@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq.

Article Info	Abstract					
Anicie Injo						
Volume 83	A sample of 40 Spitzer/IRAS (3.6µm) spiralgalaxies were selected. This sample					
Page Number: 11116 - 11124	consisted of ranging of Hubble types from Sa to Sc to discovernew correlations.					
Publication Issue:	The total mass (Mtotal) of the stellar associated with the subhalo were used, which					
March - April 2020	it find directly from the simulation outputs.					
	We used a large sample of accurate estimates of host galaxy velocity dispersions					
	$(\sigma^*)$ coupled with libraries of the total mass of thestellar (Mtotal), total mass of halo					
Article History	(Mhalo), and mass of dark matter (MDM), of the host galaxies.					
Article Received: 24 July 2019	We explored correlations between the spheroid velocity dispersion ( $\sigma^*$ ) and mass					
Revised: 12 September 2019	of the total stellar (Mtotal) ( $\sigma^*$ -Mtotal), mass of dark matter (MDM) ( $\sigma^*$ -MDM)					
Accepted: 15 February 2020	and, mass of total halo (Mhalo) ( $\sigma^*$ -Mhalo) of host galaxies.					
Publication: 13 April 2020	<b>Keywords:</b> spiral galaxies, dark matter, dispersion velocity, halo mass.					

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The researches of galaxies have led to the found out many new correlations between the SMBHs masses or SMBH growth and the characteristics of host galaxies (Davis 2012, Al-Baeidhany et al. 20017& 2019). At this time, astrophysicists consider that the released energy from SMBHs have a greatfunction in the structure characteristics of host galaxies (Benson 2010; Ferrarese et al. 2000).

The bulges of spiral galaxies contain SMBH whose strongly correlates with dispersion velocity ( $\sigma^*$ ) (r<sub>e</sub>, M<sub>BH</sub>- $\sigma^*$ ); (Ferrarese et al. 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000a) with luminosityof bulge's galaxy ( $L_{bul}$ , M- $L_{bul}$ ; Kormendy et al.1995; Maigorrian et al. 1998; Marconii at al. 2003 Härinng at al. 2004; Gültekkin et al. 2009), with mass of the bulge ( $M_{bul}$ ) (Magorriann et al. 1998, Haäring et al. 2004), rotation velocity (Fearrarese 2002), and withthe dark matter (Ferrarese 2002).In addition, Seiagar et al. (2008) found out a new correlation between pitch angle and dispersion velocity.

In this work, we used the mass of total stellar  $(M_{total})$  of particles bound for subhalo, which it find using the simulation results as the



mass of total stellar of the particles of bound for subhalo.

The present study examined the correlations between the spheroid dispersion of velocity ( $\sigma^*$ ) and the total stellar mass ( $M_{total}$ ) ( $\sigma^*$ - $M_{total}$ ), mass of dark matter ( $M_{DM}$ ) ( $\sigma^*$ - $M_{DM}$ ) and mass of total halo ( $M_{halo}$ ) ( $\sigma^*$ - $M_{halo}$ ) of the host galaxies.

This work is consistent of : Section 2, we briefly characterize of sample of 40 Spitzer/IRAS ( $3.6\mu m$ ) spiral galaxies. Section 3 is an analysis and study of the results. Section 4 is the conclusions.

## II. SAMPLE

A sample of 40 Spitzer/IRAS (3.6µm) spiral galaxies were selected (see Table 1). The sample consisted of 40 galaxies, which it is possible to find these correlations.

In this study, we obtained the bulge of velocity dispersion forspiral galaxies from the literature(Seigar et al. 2006, Davis et al. 2012, Davis et al. 2014, Al-Baidhany et al. 2019b, Treuthardt et al. 2012).

We take on halo mass as the mass enclosed within a sphere, centered on the potential minimum of the halo that has a mean internal density of 200 times the critical density of the Universe.

We use total stellar using the simulation as total mass of stellar of the particles bound for subhalo.

In this study, this sample consists of 40 spiral galaxies, 5 are classical bulges, 28are pseudobulges, 7 have both pseudobulges and classical bulges.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By using our sample of 40 galaxies and drawing the  $\sigma^*$  –  $M_{total}, \sigma^*$  –  $M_{DM}$ , and  $\sigma^*$  –  $M_{halo}$  correlations, we conclude that there is a new correlation between ( $\sigma^*$ ) and  $M_{total}$ ,  $M_{DM}$ , and  $M_{halo}$ . In Table 2, we record the of the best-fitting lines parameters.

Figure (1) illustrates the relation for  $\sigma^*$ -M<sub>tot</sub>, M<sub>DM</sub>, M<sub>halo</sub>, where ( $\sigma^*$ ) is the stellar velocity dispersion of spiral galaxies. In Figure(1) we note that spiral galaxies are existing between the fitting line. The best-fitting line is:

 $(\sigma^*) = 61.62 \pm 1.5 M_{total} - 500.45 \pm 8.1$ 

Linear correlation of Pearson's coefficient for a relationforthe bulge of velocity dispersion of spiral galaxies ( $\sigma^*$ ) and M<sub>total</sub> is 0.71. This means a goodrelation exists between the bulge velocity dispersion and total stellar in spiral galaxies.

Figure(1) shows a new correlation of the bulge stellar velocity dispersion distribution for 40 galaxies described in Table 2.

The  $\sigma$ \*-M<sub>total</sub> relation backing the idea of regulated formation mechanisms and co-evolution for the galaxy's bulge stellar velocity dispersion(the smallest structures in a galaxy) and total stellar of spiral galaxies (the largest structures in a galaxy).







The essential  $\sigma^* - M_{DM}$  scaling relation of spiral galaxies was examined. Figure 2 illustrates the relations in  $\sigma^* - M_{DM}$ , where the spiral galaxies have correlation. The best-fitting line is:

 $(\sigma^*)$ = $69.41\pm1.8M_{DM}$  $677.48\pm4.6$ linear correlation of Pearson's coefficient for the relation between  $(\sigma^*)$  and  $M_{DM}$  is 0.73, for allgalaxies. We note that linear correlation of Pearson's coefficient value for spiral galaxies have a goodcorrelation.

Figure 2 also demonstrates that there is a statistically important relation for the stellar velocity dispersionand the dark matter mass: galaxies with high bulge dispersion fvelocity have high mass of the dark matter.

The bulge of dispersion velocity-halo mass correction (Figure 3) shows the same behavior. There is aimportant between bulge dispersion of velocity and the mass of halo for all of them.





Figure(3) shows a plot of halo masses calculated for  $(\sigma^*-M_{halo})$  correlation, for spiral galaxies. Linear correlation of Pearson's coefficient for a relationfor $\sigma^*$ - and  $M_{halo}$  was found to be 0.74.

Linear correlation of Pearson's coefficient value for all of galaxies are noted to have the significance level.

The best-fitting line is:.

$$(\sigma^*) = 72.49 \pm 1.3 M_{halo} - 717.65 \pm 3.5$$





Figure 3: Dispersion of velocity as a function of halo mass for 40 galaxies.

The galaxies are classified into those which harbor classical bulges and those which harbor pseudobulges according to Sérsic indices (nb) and the ratio of bulge (B) – to-total (T) (B/T)luminosities. Two ways were adopted for this classification: first, pseudobulges (P) have (Sersic index (n))  $n_b \leq 2$  and classical bulges have  $n_b > 2$ (Fisheer & Driory 2008). our sample galaxies are classified into those which harbor classical bulges and those which harbor pseudobulges according to Sérsic indices (n<sub>b</sub>) and the ratio of bulge-to-total (B/T) luminosities. Two ways were adopted for this classification: first, pseudobulges have  $n_b \leq 2$ and classical bulges have  $n_b > 2$  (Fisiher & Dirory 2008). Second, the average (B/T) of pseudobulges is (0.16) whereas, the B/T of classical bulges (C) is (0.4) (Fisher & Drory 2008; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004). The basic morphological Hubble type has been taken from HYPERLEDA<sup>1</sup> and  $NED^2$ .

Figure (4) shows the dispersion velocity versus the pitch angle. In Table (2) we list the best fits to the  $\sigma^*$  versus P relation for a sample of 40 Spitzer/IRAS (3.6µm) spiral galaxies.

The fits for the  $\sigma^*$ - P relation, along with the corresponding correlation measures - are detailed in Table (2). Pearson's linear correlation coefficient is found, which is 0.0087 for the a sample of 40 spiral galaxies

$$\sigma *= (151.79 \pm 3.52) - (0.354 \pm 0.02)P$$

<sup>1</sup>http://leda.univ-lyon1.fr/





Figure 4: Dispersion velocity ( $\sigma^*$ ) versus pitch angle (P).

Figure (5 and 6) show the correlations in  $\sigma^*$  - P. Linear correlation of Pearson's coefficients are found, which are 0.0012 and 0.0613 for the pseudobulges and classical bulges respectively. Most spiral galaxies are concluded, including pseudobulges or classical bulges have a good correlation between  $\sigma^*$  and P. The best-fitting lines are:

 $\sigma *= (143.52 \pm 3.13) - (0.226 \pm 0.03)P$ (Classical bulges)

$$\sigma *= (143.98 \pm 2.31)$$
  
- (0.128  
 $\pm 0.02)$ P(Pseudobulges)

The classical bulges have a linear fit very different to that of pseudobulges galaxies and to the combined sample of spiral galaxies.

Surprisingly, there are not correlations between dispersion velocity and spiral arm pitch angle.

These results are contradictory with Sigar's results (Seigar 2008).



Figure 5: Dispersion velocity ( $\sigma^*$ ) versus pitch angle (P) for classical galaxies.





Figure 6: Dispersion velocity ( $\sigma^*$ ) versus pitch angle (P) for pseudobulges galaxies.

Table 1: Linear correlation coefficient and linear regression coefficients of the bulge stellar velocity dispersion as a function of host galaxies:  $[(\sigma^*) = \alpha - \beta M]$ :

correlation	β	α	Types of
coefficient			correlation
0.71	61.62	500.45	$\sigma^*-M_{total}$
	$\pm 1.5$	$\pm 8.1$	
0.73	69.41	677.48	$\sigma^*-M_{DM}$
	$\pm 1.8$	$\pm 4.6$	
0.74	72.49	717.26	$\sigma^*$ - $M_{halo}$
	± 1.3	$\pm 3.5$	
0.0087	0.354	151.79	σ*-P
	±	$\pm 3.5$	
	0.02		
0.0613	0.226	143.52	σ*-P
	±	$\pm 3.1$	(Classical
	0.03		bulges)
0.0012	0.354	143.98	σ*-P
	±	$\pm 2.3$	(psudobulges)
	0.02		

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Based on this work, the following conclusions can be made:

1- The scaling relations were studied for the bulge stellar velocity dispersion ( $\sigma^*$ ), and  $M_{*tot}$ ,  $M_{DM}$ ,  $M_{halo}$ . The best-fitting linear regressions are:  $(\sigma^*) = 61.62 \pm 1.5 M_{total} - 500.45 \pm 8.1$  $(\sigma^*) = 69.41 \pm 1.8 M_{DM} - 677.48 \pm 4.6$  $(\sigma^*) = 72.49 \pm 1.3 M_{halo} - 717.65 \pm 3.5$  $(\sigma^*) = (151.79 \pm 3.52) - (0.354 \pm 0.02) P$ 

 $(\sigma *) = (143.52 \pm 3.13) - (0.226 \pm 0.03)P$ (Classical bulges)

$$(\sigma *) = (143.98 \pm 2.31)$$
  
- (0.128  
 $\pm 0.02)P(Pseudobulges)$ 

2- The results of this study indicate that bulge stellar velocity dispersion of spiral galaxies played an important role in growing supermassive black hole masses in center of galaxies.

3- New relations were found to exist between the bulge velocity dispersion and largescale properties of host galaxy.

4- There are no correlations between dispersion velocity and spiral arm pitch angle.



## V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was completed with the help of by the Mustansiriyah University, College Education, physics department.

Table 2. Columns: (1) galaxy name. (2) Hubble type taken from the Hyper-Leda catalogue. (3)Bar morphology: Y for barred, N for non-barred.(4) Bulge morphology (C=classical bulge,

P=pseudobulge and N=bulge-less. (4)(5) Spiral arm pitch angle (P). Most of (P) taken from Berrier et al. (2013), and Davis et al. (2012, 2017). The spiral arm pitch angle given for MW, and NGC 4945 are taken from Braun (1991), Levine et al. (2006) and Burg et al. (1986) respectively. (6) Dispersion velocity (Davis et al. (2017)). (7) M<sub>total</sub>is total stellar mass. (8) M<sub>DM</sub> is the mass of dark matter. (9) M<sub>halo</sub> is halo mass.

Galaxy name -1	Type -2	Bar -3	Bulge -4	Pitch Angle (P) -5	Dispersion Velocity $(\sigma^*)$ Km/s	Log(M*, <sub>total</sub> /Mo) -7	Log(M <sub>DM</sub> /M <sub>O</sub> ) -8	Log(M <sub>halo</sub> /M <sub>O</sub> ) -9
					-6			
Circinus	SABb	N	Р	17.0± 3.9	$149\pm18$	10.24	11.83	11.87
ESO558G009	Sbc	N	Р	16.5± 1.3	170±21	11.09	12.43	12.46
IC 2560	SBb	Y	P,C	22.4±1.7	$141 \pm 10$	10.28	11.7	11.74
J0437+2456	SB	Y	Р	16.9±4.1	110±13	10.24	11.83	11.87
Milky Way	SBbc	Y	P,C	13.1 ± 0.6	$105 \pm 20$	10.11	11.59	11.63
Mrk 1029	S	N	Р	17.9 ± 2.1	132±16	10.53	11.36	11.44
NGC 0224	SBb	Y	С	$8.5\pm1.3$	$157 \pm 4$	10.28	11.81	11.84
NGC 0253	SABc	Y	Р	13.8 ± 2.3	97 ± 18	10.28	11.75	11.8
NGC 1068	Sb	N	P,C	17.3 ± 1.9	$176 \pm 9$	10.34	11.69	11.76
NGC 1097	SBb	Y	Р	9.5 ± 1.3	195±5	11.05	11.87	11.95
NGC 1300	SBbc	Y	Р	12.7 ± 2.0	$222\pm30$	10.96	11.95	12.03
NGC 1398	SBab	Y	С	$9.7\pm0.7$	$197\pm18$	11.07	11.89	11.96
NGC 2273	SBa	Y	Р	15.2 ± 3.9	$141\pm 8$	10.96	11.95	12.03
NGC 2748	Sbc	Ν	Р	$6.8\pm2.2$	$96 \pm 10$	10.82	11.68	11.75
NGC 2960	Sa	N	Р	14.9 ± 1.9	166±17	10.28	11.75	11.8
NGC 2974	SB	Y	С	10.5 ± 2.9	$233\pm4$	10.89	12.58	12.6
NGC 3031	SBab	Y	С	13.4 ± 2.3	$152 \pm 2$	11.06	12.23	12.27
NGC 3079	SBcd	Y	Р	20.6 ± 3.8	$175 \pm 12$	10.53	11.36	11.44
NGC 3227	SABa	Y	Р	$7.7 \pm 1.4$	$126\pm 6$	10.77	12.14	12.17
NGC 3368	SABa	Y	P,C	14.0 ± 1.4	$120 \pm 4$	10.28	11.7	11.74
NGC 3393	SBa	Y	Р	13.1 ± 2.5	$197 \pm 28$	10.26	11.85	11.87



				$18.6 \pm$				
NGC 3627	SBb	Y	Р	2.9	$127\pm 6$	11.09	12.43	12.46
				11.8 ±				
NGC 4151	SABa	Y	С	1.8	96 ± 10	11.06	12.23	12.23
				13.2 ±				
NGC 4258	SABb	Y	P,C	2.5	$133 \pm 7$	10.82	11.68	11.75
			_	14.7 ±				
NGC 4303	SBbc	Y	Р	0.9	96 ± 8	10.12	11.62	11.66
NCC 4299	SDad	v	р	$18.6 \pm 2.6$	00 + 0	10.09	11.69	11.74
NGC 4388	SECU	I	P	2.0	99±9	10.08	11.08	11.74
NGC 4395	SBm	v	P	22.1±	27 + 5	10.08	11.55	11.6
1100 4375	SDIII	1	1	12.2 +	$21\pm 3$	10.00	11.55	11.0
NGC 4501	Sb	N	Р	3.4	166 + 7	10.84	12.02	12.08
1100 1001		11	-	1 5.2 +	100 = /	10101	12102	12100
NGC 4594	Sa	Ν	P,C	0.4	$231\pm3$	11.12	12.61	12.63
NGC 4699	SABb	Y	P,C	$5.1 \pm 0.4$	$191\pm9$	10.89	12.58	12.6
NGC 4736	SBab	Y	Р	15±2.3	$108 \pm 4$	10.34	11.69	11.76
				24.3±				
NGC 4826	Sab	Ν	Р	1.5	$99 \pm 5$	10.05	11.48	11.56
				$22.2 \pm$				
NGC 4945	SBc	Y	Р	3.0	$121 \pm 18$	10.03	11.44	11.55
NGC 5055	Sbc	Ν	Р	$4.1\pm0.4$	$100 \pm 3$	10.88	12.59	12.66
				13.3 ±				
NGC 5495	SBc	Y	Р	1.4	166±20	10.28	11.75	11.8
				13.5 ±				
NGC 5765	SABb	Ν	Р	3.9	162±20	10.94	12.34	12.37
NGC 6264	SBb	Y	Р	$7.5\pm2.7$	$158\pm15$	10.28	11.81	11.84
				11.2 ±				
NGC 6323	SBab	Y	Р	1.3	$158\pm26$	10.18	11.69	11.73
NGC 6926	SBc	Y	Р	$9.1 \pm 0.7$	$122 \pm 13$	10.77	12.14	12.17
				10.9 ±				
NGC 7582	SBab	Y	Р	1.6	$148 \pm 19$	10.69	12.18	12.15

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Baidhany I., Rashid H. G., Chiad S. S., Habubi N F, Jandow N. N, Jabbar W. A., and Abass k. H., 2017, Novel Relationship among Spiral Arm Pitch Angles (p) and momentum parameter of the host spiral galaxies, IOP Conf. Series Journal of Physics Conf. Series 1003 012107.
- Ismaeel A Al-Baidhany, Sami S Chiad, Wasmaa A Jabbar, Rasha A Hussein2, Firas F KHussain and Nadir F Habubi1, 2019, Theoretical investigation for the relation (supermassive black hole mass)– (spiral arm pitch angle): a correlation for galaxies with classical bulges,IOP Conf.

Series: Materials Science and Engineering 571, 012118.

- [3] Ismaeel A. Al-Baidhany, Sami Salman Chiad, Wasmaa A. Jabbar, Nadir Fadhil Habubi1, Tahseen H.Mubarak, Abdulhussain Abbas Khadayeir, Ehssan S. Hassan4, Mohamed Odda Dawod4 and KhalidHaneen Abass5, 2019, A New Correlation between Galaxy Stellar Masses and Spiral Arm, IOP Conf. Series Journal of Physics Conf. Series 1234 (2019) 012105.
- [4] Ismaeel A. Al-Baidhany, Sami Salman Chiad, Wasmaa A. Jabbar, Nadir Fadhil Habubi1, Tahseen H. Mubarak, Abdulhussain Abbas Khadayeir, Ehssan S. Hassan4, Mohamed Odda Dawod4 and



Khalid Haneen Abass5, 2019, A Comparison between Different Methods to Study the Supermassive Black Hole Mass - Pitch Angle Relation, IOP Conf. Series Journal of Physics Conf. Series 1294 (2019) 022010.

- [5] Benson, A. J., & Bower, R., 2010, Galaxy formation spanning cosmic history, MNRAS, 405, 1573-1623.
- [6] Davies B., Origlia L., Kudritzki R. P., Figer D. F., Rich R. M., Najarro F., Negueruela I., Clark J. S.,2014,Chemical abundance patterns in the inner galaxy: the scutum red supergiant clusters,ApJ, 2014-2925.
- [7] Davis, B. L., Berrier, J. C., Shields, D. W., Kennefick, J., Kennefick, D., ., Seigar, M. S.,2012,Spiral arm pitch angle using two-dimensional fast fourier transform decomposition measurement of galactic logarithmic,ApJS, 199:33.
- [8] Ferrarese, L., and Merritt, D.,2000, A Fundamental Relation between Supermassive Black Holes and Their Host Galaxies, ApJ, 539, L9–L12.
- [9] Ferrarese, L.,2002, Beyond the Bulge: A Fundamental Relation between Supermassive Black Holes and Dark Matter Halos, ApJ, 578:90–97.
- [10] Fisher, D.B.&Drory, N., The Structure of Classical Bulges and Pseudobulges: the Link Between Pseudobulges and SÉRSIC Index, 2008, AJ, 136, 773.
- [11] Gebhardt K. et al., 2000, A Relationship between Nuclear Black Hole Mass and Galaxy Velocity Dispersion, ApJ, 539, L13.
- [12] Gültekin, K., et al., 2009, A Quintet of Black Hole Mass Determinations, ApJ, 695, 1577.
- [13] Häring, N., and Rix, H.-W.,2004,On the Black Hole Mass-Bulge Mass Relation,ApJ, 604, L89.
- [14] Kormendy, J., & Fisher, D. B.,2005, Structure and formation of elliptical and spheroidal galaxies, RevMexA&A (Serie de Conferencias), 23, 101.

- [15] Kormendy, J.,& Kennicutt, R. C., 2004, Secular Evolution and the Formation of Pseudobulges in Disk Galaxies, ARA&A, 42, 603 (KK04).
- [16] Marconi, A. and Hunt, L. K., 2003, The Relation between Black Hole Mass, Bulge Mass, and Near-Infrared Luminosity, ApJ 589, L21–L24.
- [17] Seigar, M. S., Bullock, J. S., Barth, A. J., Ho, L. C., 2006a, Constraining Dark Matter Halo Profiles and Galaxy Formation Models Using Spiral Arm Morphology. I. Method Outline, ApJ, 645, 1012.
- [18] Seigar, M. S., Kennefick, Kennefick, J., and Lacy, C.H.S., 2008, Discovery of a relationship between spiral arm morphology and supermassive black hole mass in disk galaxies, ApJ, 678, L93.
- [19] Seigar, M. S.,1998, The structure of spiral galaxies — II. Near-infrared properties of spiral arms, MNRAS, 299, 685.
- [20] Treuthardt, P., Seigar, M. S., Sierra, A. D., Al-Baidhany, I., Salo, H., 2012, On the link between central black holes, bar dynamics and dark matter haloes in spiral galaxiesMNRAS.