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#### Abstract

In this paper we give some important definitions, examples and properties of partly ordered sets or simply a poset, Hasse diagrams and Lattices.
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## I. Introduction

In 1963Gabor Szasz [1], was introduced in Introduction to Lattice Theory definitions and some examples and in 2010 S. Santha [2] gave some more examples of posets, hasse diagrams and lattices. In 2013, V. B. V.N. Prasad \& J V Rao, were published Characterization of Quasi groups and Loops [3], Classification of partially ordered loops and lattice ordered loops [4], in 2014 they were published Classification of "Normal sub loop and Ideal of loops" [5] and in 2014 Cones in Lattice ordered loops [6] were published in lattice ordered loops.

## II PARTIAL ORDERE RELATION

Definition2.1:"A partly ordered set is a system comprising of a non-empty set $P$ and a binary relation $\leq$ on $P$ such that the following conditions are satisfied $\forall x, y, z \in P$ ".
(i) "Reflexive: $\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{x}$
(ii) Anti-symmetric: $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x \Rightarrow x=y$
(iii) Transitive: $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$ "

Note2.1: We call the relation " $\leq$ (less than or equal to)" is a partial order on the set P and P is said to be "a partly Ordered set "or a "Partially Ordered set" or simply a "Poset" by the relation " $\leq$ ".

Note 2.2:It is easy to observe that if $\leq$ is a partial order on P then $\geq$ is also a partial order on P and we call the partly ordered set $(\mathrm{P}, \geq)$ the dual of the partly ordered set ( $\mathrm{P}, \leq$ ).

Definition 2.2:"Let $(\mathrm{P}, \leq)$ be a poset, the elements $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in P$ are said to be 'comparable' if either $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{b}$ or $\mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{a}$. Otherwise they are called 'incomparable' elements".

Definition 2.3: If $(\mathrm{P}, \leq)$ is a poset and the elements $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in P$ are comparable then $(\mathrm{P}, \leq)$ is called a chain.

Definition2.4: Let R be a nonempty subset of a partly ordered set $P$. An element $a \in P$ is known as an upper bound of R , if $\mathrm{x} \leq a \forall x \in R$. If R has at least one upper bound then we say that R is bounded above in P .

Definition2.5: An upper bound a of $R$ is said to be a (LUB) least upper bound of R if, for some upper
bound b of $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{a} \leq b$ (i.e. least among the upper bounds). The least upper bound of R in P is denoted by lubpR or $S_{p p} R$ (supremum of R).

Note2.3: Any sub set $R$ of $P$ has at most one least upper bound in P .

Definition2.6: Let R be a nonempty sub set of a Poset P . An element $\mathrm{a} \in P$ is called a lower bound of R if $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{x} \forall x \in R$. If R has at least one lower bound then we say that R is bounded below in P .

Definition: 2.7"A lower bound a of R is called a (GLB) greatest lower bound of R, for any lower bound $b$ of $R b \leq a$ (i.e. greatest among the lower bounds). The greatest lower bound of R is denoted by g.l.b.pR or $\operatorname{Inf}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{R}$ (infimum of R) or simply g.l.b.R or inf R".

Note 2.4: R can have almost one g.l.b in P.
Definition2.8: Let $(\mathrm{P}, \leq)$ be a poset, an element $\mathrm{a} \in P$ is called a least element of Pif $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{x} \forall x \in P$. Clearly P has at most one least element and when it exists, it is denoted by 0 (zero). Clearly $0=\inf \mathrm{P}$.

Definition 2.9: Let $(\mathrm{P}, \leq)$ be a poset, an element $\mathrm{a} \in P$ is called a greatest element of P if $\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{a} \forall x \in$ $P$.

Clearly P has at most one greatest element and when it exists, it is denoted by 1 (one) and clearly 1 SupP.

Definition 2.10:"Suppose ( $\mathrm{P}, \leq$ ) is a poset and a, $b \in P \ni a<b$. Then we say that $a$ is covered by $b$ or $b$ covers a if $\exists x \in P$ such that $a<x<b$ ".

## III HASSE DIAGRAMS

Definition 3.1:"A finite poset P can be signified by means of a figure in the subsequentmethod. Signifyindividuallyan element a $\in P$ by a small circle $k_{a} \ni$ whenever $a<b$, then $k_{b}$ is higher than $k_{a}$ in the diagram. Additional join $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}$ by a straight line whenever $b$ covers $a "$.

If $\mathrm{b}>\mathrm{a}$ in a finite poset iff there is a sequence $a=a_{1}, \ldots . . . a_{n}=b$ such that each $a_{i+1}$ covers $a_{i}$.

Thus $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$ iff there is an ascending brocken line connecting $a$ to $b$.

If no line connects a and $\mathrm{b} \neq a$ then a and b are in comparable.

The resulting figure is the diagram of the partly ordered set P w.r.t. the given ordering and this is called the Hasse diagram of P.

Example 3.1: Let $P_{1}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ ordered by the usual relation "less than or equal to". Draw the Hasse diagram.

## Sol:



Example 3.2: Draw the Hasse diagram of $\mathrm{P}_{2}==$ $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ ordered by divisibility.

Sol:


Example 3.3: For the poset $\mathrm{P}_{3}=\mathrm{P}(\{1,2,3\})$ ordered by set inclusion, write the elements in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and also draw the Hasse diagram.

Sol: Given that $\mathrm{P}_{3}=\mathrm{P}(\{1,2,3\})$

$$
P(\{1,2,3\})=\{\phi,\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,
$$

$$
3\},\{2,3\},\{1,2,3\}\}
$$



Example 3.4: For the set of all divisors of 30 with respect to the usual divisibility, draw the Hasse diagram.

Sol:


## IV LATTICES

Definition 4.1: "A Lattice is a Poset $(\mathrm{L}, \leq)$ in which each pair of elements has a"(LUB) Least Upper Bound and a (GLB) Greatest Lower Bound".

The least upper bound (or supremum, l.u.b.) of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{L}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{a} \oplus \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{aV} b, a \cup b$ or $a+b$ and is called the join of $a$ and $b$.

The (GLB) greatest lower bound (or infimum) of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{L}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{a} \wedge b, a \cap b$ or $a . b$ and is called the meet of $a$ and $b$.

Note 4.1: $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are binary operations on a lattice, since the l.u.b. and the g.l.b. of any subset of a poset are unique.

Note 4.2:"Every lattice is a Poset but every Poset is not a lattice".

Example 4.1: Verify that the following posets are lattices or not (i) (\{1,2,3,4,5\},/) and (ii) ( $\{1,2,4,8,16\}, /$ ).

Solution: Consider the poset ( $\{1,2,3,4,5\}, /$ ).
The corresponding Hasse diagram is shown in Fig. 1


For the pairs of elements $(2,3)$ and $(3,5)$, there is no upper bound. Hence, the least upper bound does not exist.

This implies that ( $\{1,2,3,4,5\}, /$ ) is not a lattice.
So we can say that all the partially ordered sets are not lattices.
(ii) Consider the Poset ( $\{1,2,4,8,16\}$, $/$ ). The corresponding Hasse diagram is shown in Fig. 2


Fig. 2
1.u.b.of $\{1,2\}=2 \quad$ g.l.b. $\{1,2\}=1$
l.u.b. of $\{2,4\}=4$
g.l.b. $\{2,4\}=2$
1.u.b. of $\{4,8\}=8$
g.l.b. $\{4,8\}=4$
l.u.b. of $\{2,8\}=8$
l.u.b. of $\{8,16\}=16$
l.u.b. of $\{4,16\}=16$
l.u.b. of $\{2,16\}=16$
g.l.b. $\{2,16\}=2$
1.u.b. of $\{1,4\}=4$
g.l.b. $\{1,4\}=1$
l.u.b. of $\{1,8\}=8$
g.l.b. $\{1,8\}=1$
l.u.b. of $\{1,16\}=16$

Therefore, each pair of elements of the poset has a l.u.b. and a g.l.b.

Hence, the poset $(\{1,2,4,8,16\}, /)$ is a lattice.
Example 4.2: If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$ is the power set of a set $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{U}$ and $\cap$ are taken as the join and meet, then prove that $\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}), \subseteq\}$ is a lattice.

Definition 4.2:Any statement about lattices involving the operations $\wedge$ and $\vee$ and the relations $\leq$ and $\geq$ remains true if $\wedge$ is replaced by V and $\vee$ is replaced by $\wedge, \leq b y \geq$ and $\geq$ by $\leq$.The lattices $(\mathrm{L}, \leq)$ and $(L, \geq)$ are called duals of each other. Similarly, the operations V and $\wedge$ are duals of each other and the relations $\leq$ and $\geq$ are duals of each other.

Example 4.3: Determine whether the principle of duality is followed in the poset $(\{1,2,4,8,16\}, \cdot)$.

Solution: Consider the Hasse diagram given in Fig. 4 for the poset $(\{1,2,4,8,16\}, \cdot)$.


Fig. 4
Let $S=\{1,2,4,8,16\}$ and let $A \subseteq S$.

Then, the l.u.b. of A with respect to $\leq$ is equal to the g.l.b. of A with respect to $\geq$ and vice versa.

Thus, when $\leq$ and $\geq$ are exchanged, the (LUB). and the (GLB) are exchanged.

If " $(\mathrm{L}, \leq)$ is a lattice, then $(\mathrm{L}, \geq)$ is also a lattice". Also, the operations of "join and meet on (L, $\leq$ ) become the operations of meet and join respectively, on $(\mathrm{L}, \geq)$ ".

Thus, the principle of duality is followed in the poset $(\{1,2,4,8,16\}, \cdot)$.

Example 4.5: Let $(\mathrm{L}, \leq)$ be a lattice and $a, b, c \in$ $L$. If $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b} \leq \mathrm{c}$, then prove that $\mathrm{a} \vee b \leq c$ and $a \wedge$ $b \leq c$

## Diagrams of Lattices:

Example (1): Let $\mathrm{L}=\{0, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, 1\}$, where $0 \wedge x=0,0 \vee x=x, 1 \wedge x=x, 1 \vee x=1$ and

| $\uparrow$ | a | b | c | d | e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | 0 | a | a | 0 |
| b | 0 | b | 0 | b | b |
| c | a | 0 | c | a | 0 |
| d | a | b | a | d | b |
| e | 0 | b | 0 | b | e |


| V | a | b | c | d | e |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | d | c | d | 1 |
| b | d | b | 1 | d | e |
| c | c | 1 | c | 1 | 1 |
| d | d | d | 1 | d | 1 |
| e | 1 | e | 1 | 1 | e |

Then this lattice can be represented by the diagram


Example (2): Draw the diagram of the lattice for the following table $\mathrm{L}=\{0, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, 1\} 0<\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}<1$

| $\wedge$ | a | b | c |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | 0 | 0 |
| b | 0 | b | 0 |
| c | 0 | 0 | c |


| V | a | b | c |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | 1 | 1 |
| b | 1 | b | 1 |
| c | 1 | 1 | c |

Then this lattice can be represented by the diagram
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