

Leaching of Heavy and Radioactive Elements from Iraqi Phosphate Ore.

Israa A. Alkadir¹, Moayyed G. Jalhoom^{,2} and Waleed T. Rashid¹

¹Production and Metallurgy Engineering Department, University of Technology/Baghdad ²Consultant- Production and Metallurgy Engineering Department, University of Technology /Baghdad

Waleed_eng99@yahoo.com

Article Info Volume 83 Page Number: 7168 - 7180 Publication Issue: March - April 2020

Article History Article Received: 24 July 2019 Revised: 12 September 2019 Accepted: 15 February 2020 Publication: 06 April 2020

1.INTRODUCTION

Phosphate rock can be considered as a material that occurs in a natural way that could be commercially used, either directly or following processing. The majority of phosphate rock production in the world is used to manufacture phosphate fertilizers and the rest is used in medical and other chemical industries [1]. The phosphate resources in the world can be classified based on their type, within three groups: marine sedimentary, igneous and biogenetic deposits and diverse from country to country and from area to area. Sedimentary marine deposits are the source for the majority of phosphate rock reserves in the world [2]. Phosphate reserves are located in different regions at Al-Anbar Governorate in the western desert of Iraq. These phosphate rock deposits can rank Iraq as the 2ndnation in the world after Morocco with regard to the size of its reserves of

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.

Abstract This research aims to study the influence of different parameters such astypes of acid, acids concentration, reaction times, and liquid to solid ratio on the leaching process for phosphate ore. The hydrometallurgical method has been used for leaching phosphate ore after beneficiation with particle size 75-150 µm.The different acids HCl,H₂NO₃, Acetic acid, andH₂SO₄, were used with different concentration(0.5, 1, 1.5,2 and 4 M), solid toliquid ratio (2/100, 4/100, 6/100, 10/100, 20/100) ,acid concentration ranged (0.5, 1, 1.5,2 and 4 M), and the temperature range 25, 45, 65 and 85 °C at a stirring rate of 1200 rpm .XRD, and SEM used for phosphate ore inspection before leaching process. The best results represent byHCl when compared with the other acids, the hydrochloric acid concentration (4 M), solid to liquid ratio (2\100 g\l), temperature (85 °c), stirring speed (1200 rpm) and contact time (120 min.).

Keywords: Phosphate rock, leaching, hydrochloric acid, heavy and radiation elements.

phosphate rock [3, 4]. The phosphate ores are mainlyconsisting of apatite minerals group in relationtothe variable amounts of gangue minerals like clays, silicates, and carbonates (dolomite or calcite). Iraqi phosphate ores, however, are classified as calcareous ore [5]. Phosphate fertilizers contain traces of heavy and radioactive elements which could be accumulated in the soil with repeated application of phosphate fertilizer. Heavy and radioactive could result inunfavorablehuman and animal effects at elevated levels or at lesser levels in the case when the exposure happens over a prolonged period. For the purpose of preventing soil from element contamination of the phosphate fertilizer, removing such elements from phosphate ore is primordial. There are 2 suggested approaches for eliminating such elements from the phosphate ores: leaching and calcination, the leaching approach is cost-



effective, superior to the calculations, due to high power required [6].

The Rock phosphates consist ofdangerous elements including heavy elements and radioactive elements, for example, Cd, Cr, Pb, Mn, Ni, Cu, Fe, U, Thas well as their daughter products, that are thought out to be toxic to the soil, animal and human health [13]. Heavy metals can be considered asmajor environmental pollutants, the toxicity they cause is anobstacle of growing importance for environmental, evolutionary, ecological and nutritional reasons. Yet, the chemical features regarding heavy elements are the majorimpacting factors in comparison to their density. Heavy metals consist of lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), cobalt (Co), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), chromium (Cr), arsenic (As), silver (Ag) and platinum group elements [14]. Heavy metals have the main availability in the soil and aquatic ecosystems and to a quite lesser amount in the atmosphere as vapors or particulate. The toxicity of heavy metals in plants differs with plant species, particular metal, pH, chemical form, concentration, and soil composition, as a lot of heavy metals are throughout to beimportant for the growth of plants [15]. Various stable and radioactive elements contained in natural phosphates which considered variances in activity concentrations measured for radionuclides with a half-life is high (>one year, Th-230, U-238, Th-232, and Ra-226), that was of environmental concern to the public [16]. In the phosphate rock, the natural Th and U decayseries have been in equilibrium. Throughoutthe the equilibrium industrial process, was the radionuclides migrate disrupted, to intermediate, final products and by-products, they were distributed between PG (U-series) and phosphoric acid, depending on chemical [17]. Most of the thorium and uranium content in rocks have been reported to redistribute itself into the acid phase, whereas the majority of rare earth, as well as radium, precipitate with phosphogypsum. After that, the phosphoric acid further converted to fertilizers and, in the procedure, transfer all the valuable elements into the product which is therefore lost through land

application. The valuable elements, if left in fertilizers, are considered as heavy metal contaminants and couldcause negative environmental effects.

The aim of this study is to find methods to recover trace elements from the phosphate used in fertilizers production from Al-Qaim fertilizers complex in western Iraq. Thus extracting the heavy and radioactive elements from the ores to reduce their content. This allows for the evaluation of the movement of these elements and thus reducing their toxicity. However, recovery of heavy and radiation elements prior to fertilizer production, it presents a huge opportunity to utilizing these strategic metals in technological applications. Recovery of these valuable elements thus is not only a means of maximizing the mineral potential but also a means of environmental stewardship.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

The phosphate rock used in the present study was brought from Al-Qaim fertilizers complex for the purpose of this work. All the chemicals used have an analytical grade. Deionized water was used to prepare the solutions. HCl, citric acid, H₂SO₄, and H₂NO₃ have been used as leaching reagents.

2.2 Preparation of phosphate ore sample

The phosphate ore sample of one Kg was crushed to pass through 1 mm sieve opening, following ore homogenization, representative samples have been taken for size distribution analysis. To determine heavy and radioactive elements in phosphate ore, a mechanical separation process is done by taking 1 kg of phosphate sample. Sieving process is carried out by using auto sieve shaker (Impact, ISO 3310-1:2000, UK) with Sieve sizes of (1mm, 710,500,355,250, 150, 106, 75 and 53 μ m) opening. The material retained on each size opening fraction is they are weighed. The screening process time was 15 minutes and it is conducted in the laboratory of minerals



processing of the Department of Production Engineering and Metallurgy.X-ray fluorescence (XRF) has been utilized to perform chemical analysis on the samples as shown in table 1.It can see also from table (1) chemical composition of particles size ($-150+75\mu$ m) has a higher concentration than other concentrations of heavy and radioactive elements. Therefore, particle size ($-150+75\mu$ m) was selected in the tests.

2.3 Mineralogical Analysis

Qualitative mineralogical analysis regarding representative sample of phosphate ore taken from ore preparation step has beencarried out in university of Baghdad/collage of geology/Iraqi-Germany laboratory, mineralogical analysis has been achieved through the use of X-ray diffraction (XRD) type (Shimadzu 7000). X-ray diffraction has been recorded at 40 kV and 30 mA for a Cu-target tube.

2.4 SEM Analysis

The inspection has been carried out at the University of Baghdad / Iraqi- German laboratory. Morphology regarding the phosphate powder has beenexaminedthrougha scanning electron microscopy model (VEGA 3).

2.5 Atomic absorption spectroscopy

The atomic absorption spectroscopy type (nova AA 350). Was used to determine the dissolved some heavy and radioactive elements () in the solution of the leaching process, at the laboratories of Ibn-Sina state Company.

2.6. Leaching process

The leaching tests have been carried out in 250 mL spherical Pyrex reactor, that has been heated througha temperature-controlled water bath, and glass reflux condenser has been utilized for preventing the evaporation. Ground phosphate ore has been added to a 100 mL agent solution; the pulp has been agitated for a defined time through the use of magnetic stirrer. After the solution filtrated was liquid leaching was analyzed by AAS. The phosphate leaching percentage (L%) has been estimated through the

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.

use of the following. The percentage regarding theelements removed from ore through batch reaction experiments. The % removal of the elements has been estimated depending on the following equation [18]:

L % = [Cf Ci] X 100

Where Ci can be defined as the initial concentration in mg/kg, and Cfis defined as the final concentration in mg/kg.

In leaching experiments, the role related to parameters liketemperature, acid concentration, particle size, leaching time, and stirring speed in heavy and radioactive elements was investigated and the optimized conditions for maximizing heavy and radioactive elements leaching were determined.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Mineralogical Properties

The mineralogical analyses for phosphate were performed using XRD spectra and their results are given in Figure 1. The Figure indicates that fluorapatite (Ca₅ (PO₄)₃F) is the predominant phosphate mineral, whereas calcite CaCO₃ is the main carbonate gangue mineral associating the ore with some dolomite Ca Mg (CO₃)₂ mineral too. This could explain the high CaO/P₂O₅ value of the ore, and from same Fig., can be seen, the ratio of the components of the phosphate ore, where the percent of calcite and fluorine apatite have the largest proportion with a few percentages of dolomite and silica impurities.

3.2Morphological Analysis SEM and EDX

Examination with scanning electron microscopy showed that the studied phosphate samples. The SEM-DEX analysis which was carried out on a phosphate ore sample is displayed in Figure (2). This figure reveals that the major components of phosphate ore are, Phosphate mineral (apatite) is fine grain size 0.1-0.2 mm, having a granular shape and graycolor, Carbonate matrix, main calcite. There are also some carbonates grains present as inclusions in apatite mineral. This is



in good agreement with XRD results which showed the presence.

3.3 Effect of Acid Type

The impact of acid type (H₂NO₃, H₂SO₄, HCl and $C_6H_8O_7$) on total heavy and radioactive elements leaching from phosphate ore, was studied at parameters were fixed at a leaching time of 30 min., the temperature of 25 °C and solid/liquid (S\L) ratio of 2/100.And stirring speed 300 rpm. The obtained results are presented graphically as a relation between total leached (%L) and acid type in figure (3). For HCl, it is clear that has a bigger effect than others acids this due to, hydrochloric acid is a strong acid and a relatively small molecule, this could be likely to have quicker diffusion rate than certain other acids in leaching process[19]. Add to hydrochloric acid salts are soluble in water. Therefore, after the leaching process, they can be separated from the beneficiated phosphate rock by a simple filtration process. Some elements, such as (V and U), behave differently from other elements; these elements are affected by nitric acid rather than hydrochloric acid. The element (K) is very much affected by hydrochloric acid compared with other acidity. Whoever hydrochloric acid and nitric acid have the greatest effect on the leaching process. Therefore, hydrochloric acid was selected in subsequent tests as a leaching agent.

4.3 Effect of HCl Concentration

The impact of HCl concentration on leaching effectiveness radioactive of heavy and elementsfrom phosphate ore has been implemented at constant values of particle size 150-75 µm, 30 minutes contact time, 2/100 S/L ratio, stirring speed 300 rpm at room temperature. Leaching of heavy and radioactive elements increases using acid concentration ranged (0.5, 1, 1.5,2 and 4 M). Increasing the acid concentration increases the acid attack on the structure which that results in more broken bonds and forming, and thus more heavy and radioactiveelements are leached from the phosphate oreas shown in Figure(4).

concentration. This is possible because of the elevation in viscosity with elevation in the concentration of leached radioactive and heavy elements [20].or specific elevation in sulfuric acid concentration tends to the accelerator in the leaching process due to more hydrogen ions[21]. Thus, 4 M acid concentration is suggested as ideal for later experiments.

The best leaching at4 M hydrochloric acid

3.5 Effect of solid to liquid ratio

The best ratio for solid/liquid is (2/100 g/ml) from many experiments (2/100, 4/100, 6/100, 10/100, 20/100). The time, temperature, concentration, and stirring rate are constant at 4 M hydrochloric acid of 25°C, 300 rpm, and 30 minutes consequently. Figure (5) shows the association of liquid to solid ratio and leaching of heavy and radioactive elements from phosphate ore. The leaching effectiveness of ore sample increased with the decreasing solid to liquid ratio [22]. The increase in leaching effectiveness is due to diffusion of ore particles within the solution when a liquid to solid ratio was increased. This process helps to prevent aggregations and the ease of mixing the solution with the ore particles, where high solid percentage that caused a condition of a high viscosity which hinders stirring of reactants, thus increasing the reaction rate. Also, increasing the ratio of liquid to steel means increasing the amount of acid, which leads to increased hydrogen ions [21]. Thus, 2/100 g/ml sold to liquid ratiois proposed as optimum for latter experiments.

4.6 Effect of stirring speed

Stirring speed impact on phosphate rocks dissolution through hydrochloric acid has been examined for various stirring speed: 300, 600, 900, 1200 and 1500 rpm. The other parameters have been fixed at 4 M hydrochloric acid concentration, particle size 150-75 µm and reaction time 30 minutes at room temperature. The experimental results specified in figure (6), indicated that leaching of heavy and radioactive elements increases graded at speeds between 300 to 1200 rpm.But, when increasing the speed for

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.



over 1200 rpm, the leaching efficiency starts to decrease. During the leaching process, a heterogeneous reaction thathappens at the interface between solid and liquid phase, at the boundary between the 2 phases a diffusion layer is created. When the solid in aqueous phase this layer contains stationary aqueous layer. Diffusion layer could be thinned via vigorous stirring but certainly not be totally removed. Therefore, the efficiency of the leaching is increased with a higher stirring speed.While lower the efficiency of the leaching with higher the stirring speed may be due to the aggregation of the granules due to the collision due to the high speed, which leads to a decrease in the interaction between granules and solution. Thus, speed stirring of 1200 rpm is proposed as best for later experiments.

4.7 Effect of ReactionTime

Within the conditions of hydrochloric acid 4M, liquid/solid ratio 2:100 g\l, reaction temperature 25°C, ore sample particle size 150-75 µm a series of experiments was implemented for examining the impact of reaction time on efficiency leaching heavy of and radioactiveelements. The results of the impact of leaching efficiency time on heavy and radioactive elements leaching are represented in figure (7). According to figure (7), it is clear that the increase of leaching time leads to an increase in leaching efficiency [23].Nature and type regarding phosphate rock feed have a direct impact on the speed of attack of carbonates through leaching acid. Hard phosphate rocks have a tendency to be extra rigid and less porous than soft phosphates. Therefore, additional time is going to be required the acid to penetrate through hard phosphate particles for reaching the inside carbonates [24]. The reason for increasing the leaching efficiency with the increase in leaching time is due to that the increase in time increases the solubility of the solution by contacting with the solids, and giving sufficient time for the diffusion of the solution in the solids, thus increasing the reaction rate.

4.8 Effect of Temperature

The impact of temperature on leaching effectiveness of radioactive and heavy metals has been implemented in the temperature range 25, 45, 65 and 85 °C at a stirring rate of 1200 rpm, for 120 min, 4M concentration acid and 2:100 solid to liquid ratio. The resulting leaching efficiencies are displayed in figure (8) and specify that temperature is considered to be of high importance in leaching of radioactive and heavyelements. Where the leaching efficiency of heavy and radioactiveelements increases with increasing temperature in the temperature range studied and the best leaching efficiency at 85 °C. Temperature is of high importance on dissolution kinetics and extraction because of the leaching of radioactive and heavy elements are a process dependent on diffusion. In the case of increasing the reaction temperature, the entropy will be increased, this results in increased ΔG as it is shown in the presented study [25].

This result agrees with [26].who mentioned that the increased temperature leads to increase the leaching efficiency, where it increased leaching efficiency gradually for REE from phosphate rock with temperatures increasing.

5. CONCLUSION

TheHCl acid was the most efficient for removing heavy and radioactive elements from phosphate ore. Factors affect the leaching efficiency as acid concentration, solid to liquid ratio, contact time, string speed and temperature, were investigated at a constant particles size of phosphate powder (75-150 μ m). The results presented that on a great ratio of heavy and radioactive elements could be leached at the optimum conditions.

REFERENCES

 Mar S. S. and Okazaki M., "Investigation of Cd contents in several phosphate rocks used for the production of fertilizer", Microchemical Journal, Vol 104,pp. 17-21, 2012.



- Sengul H., Ozer A. K. and Gulaboglu M. S.," Beneficiation of Mardin-Mazıdagi (Turkey) calcareous phosphate rock using dilute acetic acid solutions", Chemical Engineering Journal, Vol. 122, pp 135–140, 2006.
- Benni Th." Phosphate Deposits of Iraq" UNFC Workshop, Santiago, Chile 9-12 July2013.((www.unece.org/.../4_Benni_Iraq_PhosDe pos)).
- 4. MowafaTaib,"The Mineral Industry of Iraq" U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Year Book p2, 2011.
- Abu-Eishah S. I., El-Jallad I. S., Muthaker M., Tooqan M. and Sadeddin W., "Beneficiation of calcareous phosphate rocks using dilute acetic acid solutions: optimization of operating conditions for Ruseifa (Jordan) phosphate", International Journal of Mineral Processing, Vol. 31.pp 115– 126, 1991.
- 6. Keith Syers J., "Progress in the development of decadmiation of phosphorus fertilizers. In: Conference Fertilizer in Focus", Fertilizer Industry Federation of Australia, Inc., pp. 28–29. 2001.
- Rafael Perez-Lopez, M. A Antonio lvarez-Valero and Jose Miguel Nieto, "Changes in mobility of toxic elements during the production of phosphoric acid in the fertilizer industry of Huelva(SW Spain) and environmental impact of phosphogypsum wastes", Journal of Hazardous Materials, Vol. 148, Issue 3, pp. 745–750, 2007
- 8. JICA (Japan International Cooperation Agency), "Preliminary feasibility study eport on mining and industrial sector in Mid-Western", Iraq, 2010.
- 9. Mohammad Al-Hwaiti Khalid Ibrahim MuhannedHarrara, "Removal of heavy metals from waste phosphogypsum materials using polyethylene glycol and polyvinyl alcohol polymers", Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 2015.

- Al-Masri M.S., Amin Y., Ibrahim S., Al-Bich F., "Distribution of some trace metals in Syrian phosphogypsum", Applied Geochemistry, Vol. 19, pp. 747–753, 2004.
- 11. Mohammad N. A., Yousef J., Kher A. A., and Adnan K., "Treatment of Syrian phosphogypsum using acids and bases", Chemistry and Materials Research, Vol. 8 No.9, 2016.
- 12. AlicjaBoryło, WaldemarNowicki, Bogdan Skwarzec," The Concentration of Trace Metals in Selected Cultivated and Meadow Plants Collected from the Vicinity of a Phosphogypsum Stack in Northern Poland", Pol. J. Environ. Stud. Vol. 22, No. 2, pp347-356, 2013.
- 13. FaridullahFaridullah, Muhammad Umar ,ArifAlam , Muhammad Amjad Sabir and Danyal Khan ,"Assessment of heavy metals concentration in phosphate rock deposits, Hazara basin, lesser Himalaya
- 14. Abubakar Siddique, Abida Hassan, ShahidRehman Khan, AsmaInayat, ArifNazir and Munawar Iqbal," Appraisal of heavy metals and nutrients from phosphate rocks, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan", Siddique et al / Chemistry International ,Vol.4,No.1 ,2018.
- 15. Augustine A. U., OnwukaJ. C. and Albert C. Q.," Determination of heavy metal concentration in Neem (Azadirachtaindica) leaves, bark and soil along some major roads in Lafia, Nasarawa State Nigeria",Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology,Vol. 8,No.5, pp. 38-43, 2016.
- 16. Contreras M., Perez-Lopez R., Gazquez M. J., Morales-Florez V., Santos A., Esquivias L. and Bolivar J. P., "Fractionation and fluxes of metals and radionuclides during the recycling process of phosphogypsum wastes applied to mineral CO2 sequestration", Waste Management, 2015.
- 17. El-Bahia S. M., Sroora A., Gehan Mohamed and El-Gendya N. S.,



"Radiological impact of natural radioactivity in Egyptian phosphate rocks, phosphogypsum and phosphate fertilizers", Applied radioactive and isotopes, Vol. 123, pp. 121-127, 2017.

- 18. Al-HwaitiM., Khalid A. I. and Muhanned H.," Removal of heavy metals from waste phosphogypsum materials using polyethylene glycol and polyvinyl alcohol polymers ",Arabian Journal of Chemistry, Production and hosting by Elsevier,pp1-11,2015.
- Zafar I. Z. ,Ansari T. M. , Ashraf M. andAbid M. A., "Effect of Hydrochloric Acid on Leaching Behavior of Calcareous Phosphorites ",Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng., Vol. 25, No.2, 2006.
- KouraimM.N., FawzyM.M. and HelalyO.S., "Leaching of Lanthanides from Phosphogypsum Waste using Nonyl Phenol Ethoxylate Associated with HNO3 and HCl ",International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR), Volume 16, No 2, pp 31-44,2014.
- 21. Liu Youcai, Lin Qingquan, Li Lifeng, Fu Jiangang, Zhu Zhongsi, Wang Chongqing and Qian Dong," Study on hydrometallurgical process and kinetics of manganese extraction from low-grade manganese carbonate ores ",International Journal of Mining Science and Technology, 24 ,pp 567– 571,2014.

- 22. Angelin P.V. and Sudha .P. N," Removal of heavy metal chromium from tannery effluent using ultrafiltration membrane ",Textiles and Clothing Sustainability, DOI 10.1186/s40689-016-0016-3,PP2-15,2016.
- 23. Freeman Ntuli, Edison Muzendal, Ishmael Ramatsa, Mohamed Belaid and John Kabuba," The Effect of Leaching Time and Ammonia Concentration on the Atmospheric Leaching of Copper", International Conference on Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, vol.14,pp79-83.2011.
- 24. Dongchan L., Yafei G., Tianlong D., Yu-Wei C. and Nelson B.," Solvent Extraction of Tellurium from Chloride Solutions Using Tri-n-butyl Phosphate:Conditions and Thermodynamic Data", Hindawi Publishing Corporation the Scientific World Journal,pp1-6,2016.
- 25. Vasquez R., Molina A.," leaching of ilmenite and pre-oxidized ilmenite in hydrochloric acid to obtain high grade titanium dioxide", hradecnadmoravici, 13–15, 5, 2008.
- 26. Abd El-Mottaleb M., Cheira M. F., Gamal Gouda A. H., Abdelaal Ahmed S.A., "leaching of rare earth elements from egyptian western desert phosphate rocks using hcl", Chemistry of Advanced Materials 1(1) PP 33-40,2016.

	Concentration %					
symbol	As received	75-53 μm	150-75 μm	355-150 μm	710-355 μm	2mm- 710 μm
MgO	1.777	1.553	1.932	1.766	1.694	1.585
Al2O3	0.586	0.5894	0.4379	0.6469	0.6299	0.5143
SiO2	5.097	4.88	4.498	5.018	5.228	4.798
P2O3	11.55	9.613	15.02	13.33	11.12	11.2
SO3	1.044	0.9132	1.384	1.259	0.9983	0.9138

Table 1chemical composition of particles sizeof phosphate sample

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.



CI	0.05721	0.05281	0.06201	0.06471	0.05858	0.05579
К2О	0.0565	0.0609	0.00591	0.0293	0.0685	0.0442
CaO	50.36	49.23	10.0256	47.25	50.34	50.13
TiO2	0.0971	0.0976	40.93	0.0791	0.1061	0.0864
V2O5	0.059	0.058	0.0303	0.0507	0.0659	0.617
Cr2O3	0.0633	0.06363	0.0485	0.05631	0.06493	0.06858
MnO	0.0034	0.00458	0.00002	0.00348	0.00443	0.00354
Fe2O3	0.526	0.6152	0.3814	0.4578	0.6105	0.5554
CoO	<0.00039	0.00039	0.00039	0.00039	0.00039	0.00039
NiO	0.01059	0.01205	0.00811	0.00976	0.01273	0.0125
CuO	0.0042	0.00825	0.00479	0.00547	0.00619	0.00523
ZnO	0.138	0.1459	0.1118	0.1425	0.1389	0.1561
Ga	0.00101	0.00076	0.00046	0.00062	0.00069	0.00076
Ge	<0.00005	0.00007	0.0005	0.00005	0.00011	0.00005
As2O3	0.00069	0.00071	0.00069	0.00072	0.0007	0.00071
Se	0.00007	0.00009	0.0006	0.00008	0.00008	0.0001
Br	0.00068	0.00056	0.00085	0.00077	0.00071	0.00067
Rb2O	0.00057	0.00067	0.00047	0.00056	0.00059	0.00059
SrO	0.1469	0.1117	0.1916	0.1693	0.1384	0.1376
Y	0.00547	0.00475	0.00729	0.00636	0.00524	0.00529
ZrO2	0.00232	0.00392	0.00254	0.00223	0.00298	0.00308
Nb2O5	<0.00014	0.00014	0.00014	0.00014	0.00005	0.00014
Мо	0.00175	0.00244	0.00269	0.00205	0.00196	0.00189
Ag	<0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.00022	0.00023
Cd	0.00863	0.01095	0.00584	0.00663	0.00902	0.00941
SnO2	0.00158	0.00121	0.00173	0.00214	0.00156	0.00201
Sb2O5	0.00261	0.00195	0.00282	0.00228	0.00185	0.00226
Те	0.00144	0.001	0.00665	0.00146	0.001	0.00094
1	0.00416	0.00264	0.00439	0.00432	0.00385	0.00349
Cs	<0.00040	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004
Ва	0.00309	0.00544	0.00776	0.00718	0.00747	0.00765
La	<0.00020	0.0002	0.012	0.0002	0.0002	0.0134
Ce	<0.00020	0.0002	0.0101	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
Er	<0.00051	0.00051	0.00051	0.00051	0.00051	0.00051
Yb	<0.00020	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
Hf	<0.00010	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Ta2O5	0.00928	0.00828	0.0211	0.00958	0.00951	0.00924

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.



WO3	0.00013	0.00013	0.00013	0.00013	0.00013	0.00013
Hg	<0.00010	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
TiO2	<0.00002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.00002	0.0001
Pbo	0.00061	0.00066	0.00063	0.00054	0.00068	0.00057
Bi	<0.00010	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
Th	0.0002	0.00021	0.00026	0.00015	0.00017	0.00018
U	0.00536	0.0046	0.0065	0.0059	0.00517	0.00525



Figure (1): XRD pattern of phosphate ore





Figure (2): SEM and EDX pattern of phosphate ore



Figure (3):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of different leaching agents (leaching time of 30 min., temperature of 25 °C and liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of 2/100g\l.and stirring speed 300 rpm.)





Figure (4):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of different HCL concentration (leaching time of 30 min., temperature of 25 °C and liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of 2/100g\l.and



Figure (5):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of sold to liquid ratio (leaching time of 30 min., temperature of 25 °C and liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of





Figure (6):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of sold to liquid ratio (leaching time of 30 min., temperature of 25 °C and liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of 2/100g\l.and



Figure (7):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of time contact (stirring speed 1200 rpm, temperature of 25 $^{\circ}$ C , liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of 2/100 g\l and concentration acid





Figure (8):heavy and radiation elements leaching efficiency of temperature (stirring speed 1200 rpm. time contact 120 min . liquid/solid(S\L) ratio of 2/100 a\l and concentration acid