

Nano αg^* s-Continuous Function in Nano Topological Spaces

S.Sathyapriya¹, G.Pradeepa², Y.Gnananathan³

¹Assistant Professor, ^{2,3}PG Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Article Info

Volume 82

Page Number: 15008 - 15013

Publication Issue:

January-February 2020

Article History

Article Received: 18 May 2019

Revised: 14 July 2019

Accepted: 22 December 2019

Publication: 28 February 2020

Abstract:

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of Nano αg^* s-Continuous Function in Nano Topological Spaces and obtain some of its characteristics. And identified risk factors for the cause of HIV through the concept of Nano Topology.

Keywords: Nano αg^* s-Continuous Function, Nano αg^* s-irresolute.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1970 the new class of set is g -closed sets, a super class of closed sets was introduced. The Nano topological space with respect to a subset S of a universe was introduced which is defined in terms of lower and upper approximation of S . The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of sets called Nano αg^* s-Continuous Function in Nano Topological spaces and their characterization are analyzed. Also we identified the risk factors for the cause of HIV through the concept of Nano Topology.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [2] If pair (S, R) is an approximation space $I, J \subseteq S$, then

- $L_R(I) \subseteq I \subseteq S_R(I)$
- $L_R(\emptyset) = S_R(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- $L_R(S) = S_R(S) = S$
- $S_R(I \cap J) = S_R(I) \cap S_R(J)$
- $S_R(I^c) = [L_R(I)]^c$ and $L_R(I^c) = [S_R(I)]^c$
- $S_R(S_R(I)) = L_R(S_R(I)) = S_R(I)$
- $L_R(L_R(I)) = U_R(L_R(I)) = L_R(I)$

Definition 2.2. [2] If $(S, \tau_R(I))$ be a nano topological space w.r.t I . Where $I \subseteq G$ and if $A \subseteq G$, then

- The nano interior is defined as the union of all nano open subsets

contained in A and is denoted by $Nint(A)$, $Nint(A)$ is the largest nano open subset of A .

- The nano closure is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and is denoted by $Ncl(A)$.

Definition 2.3.[4] A nano topological space $(S, \tau_R(I))$ is said to be nano extremally disconnected, if the nano-closure of each nano-open set is nano-open.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S, \tau_R(I))$ be a nano topological space and $A \subseteq G$. Then A is said to be

Nr-closed [2] if $A = Ncl(Nint(A))$.

$N\alpha$ -closed[2] if $Nint(Ncl(Nint(A))) \subseteq A$.

Np -closed[2] if $Nint(Ncl(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.5.[4]

- A subset A of nano topological space $(S, \tau_R(I))$ is called Nano- α -generalized star semi-closed (briefly Nag^*s -closed) set if $Nscl(A) \subseteq G$ and G is Nag^*s -open in $(S, \tau_R(I))$.
- A subset A of a nano topological space $(S, \tau_R(I))$ is called Nano- α -generalized star semi-open (briefly Nag^*s -open) A^c is nano αg^*s -closed.

III. Nag^*s - Continuous Function

Definition: 3.1 Let $(P, \tau_R(I))$ and $(Q, \tau_R(J))$ are two nano topological spaces. Then a mapping $H: (P, \tau_R(I)) \rightarrow (Q, \tau_R(J))$ is Nag^*s continuous if $H^{-1}(H)$ is Nag^*s -closed in P if for every nano-closed set H of Q .

Theorem 3.2

(i).Every Nano continuous function are Nag^*s continuous

(ii).Every Nano α -continuous function are Nag^*s continuous .

Proof:

(i).Let $H: (P, \tau_R(I)) \rightarrow (Q, \tau_R(J))$ are nano continuous and H is nano closed in Q . Then $H^{-1}(H)$ are nano closed in P . Since any nano closed set is Nag^*s closed $H^{-1}(H)$ be nag^*s closed in P . The inverse image of every nano closed set be Nag^*s closed. Therefore H be Nag^*s continuous.

(ii).proof is obvious.

Example 3.3

Let $P = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $P/R = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$. Let $I = \{1, 3\} \subseteq P$. Then $\tau_R(I) = \{S, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ Nag^*s closed. $\{P, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. Let $Q = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $Q/\tau = \{\{2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ Let

$J=\{1,2\}\subseteq Q$. Then $\tau_R(j)=\{\{2\},\{1,3\}\}$. Define $H:(P,\tau_R(I))\rightarrow(Q,\tau_R(J))$ as $h(1)=3, h(2)=2, h(3)=1$. Then $h^{-1}\{\{1,3\}\}=\{1,3\}$ Which is Nag^* s closed but which is not nano closed set. Therefore f is not nano continuous. Hence Nag^* s continuous map need not be nano-continuous.

Definition:3.4 Let $(P,\tau_R(I))$ and $(Q,\tau_R(J))$ are two nano topological spaces then a mapping $H:(P,\tau_R(I))\rightarrow(Q,\tau_R(J))$ is Nag^* s-irresolute if $h^{-1}(H)$ is Nag^* s-closed set in P if for every Nag^* s closed set is H of Q .

Theorem 3.5

Let $H:(P,\tau_R(I))\rightarrow(Q,\tau_R(J))$ & $T:(Q,\tau_R(J))\rightarrow(W,\tau_R(K))$ are any two functions. Then

- (i). $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s continuous if t is Nano-continuous and h is Nag^* s continuous.
- (ii). $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s irresolute if t is Nag^* s-irresolute and h is irresolute.
- (iii). $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s-continuous if t is Nag^* s continuous and h is Nag^* s irresolute.

Proof:

- (i). Let H is nano closed in W . Then $t^{-1}(H)$ are nano closed in Q . since t is Nano-continuous. By hypothesis $h^{-1}(t^{-1}(H))$ is

Nag^* s closed in P . Hence $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s continuous.

- (ii). Let H is nano closed in W . Then $t^{-1}(H)$ are nano closed in Q , since Nag^* s is Nano-irresolute. By hypothesis $h^{-1}(t^{-1}(H))$ is Nag^* s closed in P . Hence $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s irresolute.

- (iii). Let H is nano closed in W . Then $t^{-1}(H)$ are nano closed in Q , since Nag^* s be Nag^* s continuous. By hypothesis $h^{-1}(t^{-1}(H))$ is Nag^* s closed in P . Hence $t\circ h$ is Nag^* s irresolute.

Theorem 3.6

If $(P,\tau_R(I))$ and $(Q,\tau_R(J))$ be nano topological spaces with respect to $I\subseteq P$ and $J\subseteq Q$ respectively, then any function $H:P\rightarrow Q$ the following condition satisfies they are equivalent.

- (i) $H:(P,\tau_R(I))\rightarrow(Q,\tau_R(J))$ is Nag^* s continuous
- (ii) $h(\text{Nscl}(C)) \subseteq \text{Ncl}(C)$ for every subset is C of P .
- (iii) $\text{Nscl}(h^{-1}(D)) \subseteq h^{-1}(\text{Ncl}(D))$ for every subset is D of Q .

Proof:

- (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let h be Nag^* s continuous and $C \subseteq P$. Then $h(C)\subseteq Q$. since h is Nag^* s

continuous and $Ncl(h(C))$ is nano-closed in Q . $h^{-1}(Ncl(h(C)))$ is Nag^* s closed in P . Since $h(C) \subseteq Ncl(h(C))$, $h^{-1}(h(C)) \subseteq h^{-1}(Ncl(h(C)))$ then $Nscl(C) \subseteq Nscl(h^{-1}(h(C))) = h^{-1}(Ncl(h(C)))$. Thus $Nscl(C) = h^{-1}(Ncl(h(C)))$. Therefore $h(Nscl(C)) \subseteq Ncl(h(C))$ for every subset C of Q .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $h(Nscl(C)) \subseteq Ncl(h(C))$ and $C = h^{-1}(D) \subseteq P$ for every subset $D \subseteq Q$. Since $h(Nscl(C)) \subseteq Ncl(h(C))$ we have, $h(Nscl(h^{-1}(D))) \subseteq Ncl(h(h^{-1}(D))) \subseteq Ncl(D)$ that is, $h(Nscl(h^{-1}(D))) \subseteq Ncl(D)$ which implies that $Nscl(h^{-1}(D)) \subseteq h^{-1}(Ncl(D))$ for every subset $D \subseteq Q$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $Nscl(h^{-1}(D)) \subseteq h^{-1}(Ncl(D))$ for every subset D of Q . If D be nano closed

in Q , then $Ncl(D) = D$. By assumption $Nscl(h^{-1}(D)) \subseteq h^{-1}(Ncl(D)) = h^{-1}(D)$. Thus, $Nscl(h^{-1}(D)) \subseteq h^{-1}(D)$.

But $h^{-1}(D) \subseteq Nscl(h^{-1}(D))$. Therefore, $Nscl(h^{-1}(D)) = h^{-1}(D)$. That is, $h^{-1}(D)$ is Nag^* s closed in P for every nano closed set D in Q . Therefore h are Nag^* s continuous on P .

4. APPLICATION OF NANOTOPOLOGY

Example 4.1

Here we apply the Nano topology to find the key factors of 'HIV' using topological reduction of attributes in incomplete information system.

The following table gives information about patients those who are having Head Ache, Skin Rash, Night Sweats, Pain in the Joints and Family history.

Patients	Head Ache	Skin Rash	Diarrhea	Swollen lymph nodes	Family History	Result
H1	✓	✓	✓	Nil	Nil	Yes
H2	✓	Nil	Nil	✓	✓	Yes
H3	Nil	✓	✓	Nil	✓	Yes
H4	✓	✓	✓	Nil	Nil	No
H5	Nil	✓	✓	Nil	Nil	No
H6	Nil	✓	✓	Nil	✓	No
H7	✓	Nil	✓	Nil	✓	Yes
H8	✓	Nil	✓	✓	Nil	No

Here $P = \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7, H8\}$, the set of patients and $A = \{\text{Head Ache, Skin Rash, Diarrhea, Swollen lymph nodes, and Family history, HIV}\}$ which is divided into two classes, $C = \{HA, SR, DA, SN, FH\}$ and $D = \{HIV\}$. The family equivalence of classes, S/C corresponding to C is given

by $P/R(C) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$.

Case 1 : (Patients with HIV) Let $I = \{H1, H2, H3, H7\}$, the set of patient with HIV. Then $L_C(I) = \{H2, H7\}$, $P_C(I) = \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H6, H7\}$ and $B_R(I) = \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}$. Therefore, $\tau_C(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H6, H7\}, \{B1, B3, B4, B6\}\}$. The basis of $\tau_C(I)$ is given by $\beta_C(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$

Step 1: When the attribute 'Head Ache' is removed from C , $P/R(C-HA) = \{\{H1, H4, H5\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence the lower and upper approximations of X corresponding to $C - \{HA\}$ are given by $L_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{\{H2, H7\}, P_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7\}$ and the corresponding boundary region is $B_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}$. Therefore the corresponding nano topology and its basis are given $\tau_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} \neq \beta_C(I)$

Step 2: When the attribute 'Skin Rash' is removed from C , $P/R(C-SR) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{SR\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H6, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{SR\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$

$\{H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{SR\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 3: When the attribute 'Diarrhea' is removed from C , $P/R(C-DA) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{DA\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H6, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{DA\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 4: When the attribute 'Swollen lymph nodes' is removed from C , $P/R(C-SN) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{SN\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H6, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{SN\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 5: When the attribute 'Remove family History' is removed from C , $P/R(C-FH) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H5, H6\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{FH\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{FH\}}(I) = \{P, \{H2, H7\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6\}\} \neq \beta_C(I)$. Therefore, CORE = {HeadAche, Familyhistory}.

Case2 (Patients not with HIV) Let $I = \{H4, H5, H6, H8\}$, the set of patients without HIV. Therefore, $\tau_C(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$. The basis of $\tau_C(I)$ is given by $\beta_C(I) = \{P, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$.

Step 1: When the attribute 'Head Ache' is removed from C , $P/R(C-HA) = \{\{H1, H4, H5\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$. Therefore, $\tau_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{P, \{H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} \neq \beta_C(I)$

$H5, H6\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{HA\}}(I) = \{P, \{H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6\}\} \neq \beta_C(I)$.

Step 2: When the attribute 'Skin Rash' is removed from C, $P/R(C-SR) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{SR\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{SR\}}(I) = \{P, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 3: When the attribute 'Diarrhea' is removed from C, $P/R(C-DA) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{DA\}}(I) = \{S, \phi, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{DA\}}(I) = \{P, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 4: When the attribute 'Swollen lymph nodes' is removed from C, $P/R(C-SN) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\}, \{H3, H6\}, \{H5\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{SN\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\}$. $\beta_{C-\{SN\}}(I) = \{P, \{H5, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H6\}\} = \beta_C(I)$.

Step 5: When the attribute 'Remove family History' is removed from C, $P/R(C-FH) = \{\{H1, H4\}, \{H2\},$

$\{H3, H5, H6\}, \{H7\}, \{H8\}\}$ and hence $\tau_{C-\{FH\}}(I) = \{P, \phi, \{H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7, H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7\}\}$ and $\beta_{C-\{FH\}}(I) = \{P, \{H8\}, \{H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7\}\} \neq \beta_C(I)$. Therefore, $CORE = \{\text{HeadAche, Familyhistory}\}$.

OBSERVATION

From the CORE, we observed that 'Head Ache' and 'Family History' are the key factors for HIV. Proper medical care and change in the behavioral pattern can prevent the risk.

REFERENCES

1. N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo,, Vol.19,(1970),89-96
2. Cire. math. palermo, 19(2)(1963), 89–96.
3. M.SaganJoseph, I.Rajasekaranand O.Nethaji, Onnano π gs-closed sets, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14(1)(2018),181–187.
4. S.Sathyapriya, S.Kamali, M.Kousalya, On Nano αg^* s–Closed sets in Nano Topological spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research in Sciences, Engineering & Technology,8(2)2019, 777-784.