

A study on instructional supervision by principals in type 1C schools in Sri Lanka

H. M. Lalitha Kumari

PhD Student, Faculty of Life Sciences and Education, University of South Wales, Pontypridd, Wales, UK

Article Info

Volume 82

Page Number: 13576 - 13579

Publication Issue:

January-February 2020

Abstract

Instructional supervision has been identified as the most important mechanism and also a key factor in terms of professional development of teachers. Also it has been found that the main purpose of instructional supervision is to support teachers to identify their strengths, areas that need improvement and thus improve their teaching skills which directly benefit students' high level of educational performance. This study focused on investigating the role of instructional supervision of type 1C school principals in Sri Lanka. The objectives of this study were to identify how principals of type 1C schools perceive the concept of instructional supervision; find out how principals of type 1C schools engage in instructional supervision; and identify challenges principals face when engaging in instructional supervision. Altogether eight schools, eight principals and 120 teachers were selected for the study. Both semi structured interviews and questionnaires were used to gather data necessary. The findings revealed that all the principals in the type 1C schools have positive perception about the role of instructional supervision and have formed an instructional supervisory team including the principal. However the study revealed that the majority of principals in the type 1C schools do not engage in role of instructional supervision due to the major challenge of having engage in general administration roles than the instructional roles.

Article History

Article Received: 18 May 2019

Revised: 14 July 2019

Accepted: 22 December 2019

Publication: 24 February 2020

Keywords: *Instructional supervision, Type 1C schools, Perception*

I. INTRODUCTION

Instructional supervision has been diagnosed as the most vital mechanism and additionally a key aspect in terms of professional development of instructors. Nolan & Hoover (2008) described academic supervision as “a faculty feature involved with teacher boom, leading to development in coaching overall performance and extra scholar learning”. According to Zepeda (2007) there are different processes in academic supervision. They have been identified as medical supervision, peer coaching, cognitive training and mentoring. Also it's been discovered that the primary motive of educational supervision is to support instructors to become aware of their strengths, areas that need development and accordingly improve their coaching competencies which directly advantage students' excessive stage of instructional

performance. Similar to this view Anderson et al., (2008) diagnosed instructional supervision as one of the maximum essential tools that can be utilized in constructing effective expert improvement of instructors. They in addition emphasised that there's an instantaneous courting among educational supervision and professional improvement of instructors.

Expressing an idea about instructional supervision Sergiovanni (1995) declared the importance of constructing a dialogue between manager and supervisee so that it will explicit their perspectives freely. As emphasized by using Sergiovanni (1995) supervision must not be carried out to find the faults of teachers. It must be centered on imparting important comments for teachers so as for them to triumph over challenges and weaknesses. Supporting this notion, Anderson and Snyder (1993)

highlighted that fulfillment of professional improvement of teachers depends at the mutual communicate that supervisor and supervisee build. According to them in particular the mutual speak enables the supervisee to offer his/her views in regard to training

In this connection college principals can use educational supervision as an powerful device in phrases of improving expert improvement of teachers. This look at targeted on investigating the position of instructional supervision by principals working in type 1C faculties in Sri Lanka. The targets of this have a look at had been to discover how principals of kind 1C schools understand the concept of educational supervision; discover how principals of kind 1C colleges engage in instructional supervision; and perceive challenges principals face while undertaking academic supervision.

II. METHODOLOGY

This take a look at appears on the effect of tutorial supervision by means of college principals at the pedagogical practices and professional improvement of teachers in kind 1C faculties in Sri Lanka. To date, research into this field inside the united states of america has centered extra on the system of supervision as opposed to on how the predominant engage in it as an instructional leader. The look at hired a descriptive survey layout, wherein each quantitative and qualitative techniques of records collection and analysis have been implemented. Accordingly the combined method was hired on this observe. As defined by Newby (2010) mixed techniques studies is becoming an an increasing number of popular technique inside the fields of sociology, psychology, schooling and fitness sciences. Supporting this Creswell and Plano Clark (2007) stated that the combination of each quantitative and qualitative methods in a single observe gives a higher understanding of research troubles than either method on my own can provide. As explained with the aid of

Tashakkori&Teddle,(1998) combining quantitative and qualitative procedures inside one-of-a-kind ranges of the research technique is possible. Accordingly two stages of the study, a quantitative section, followed via a qualitative segment changed into blanketed in to the research layout of the modern look at. Study respondents protected 120 instructors randomly decided on from eight government secondary faculties, 8 faculty principals, and eight sectional heads from the selected secondary schools.

III. FINDINGS

Findings of the look at discovered that every one the principals within the type 1C faculties have superb belief about the function of instructional supervision. They agree with that the academic supervision is one of the maximum essential mechanisms and also a key element in phrases of expert improvement of instructors and subsequently academic supervisory teams were fashioned such as the important. However, it found from this take a look at that the educational supervisory teams have been now not functioned satisfactorily and principals in these particular type schools do not engage in instructional supervision because of the primary challenge of getting interact in general management roles than the educational roles. This become further supported by means of the interviews held with sectional heads in type 1C schools wherein there was a view “principals in our colleges do not have time to have interaction in academic supervision as they have to do many administrative paintings”. In phrases of the responses received to the question “How frequently does your predominant study your preparation and provide necessary remarks” extra than ninety four% of instructors from the entire sample responded ‘Never’. Compared to this the percentages of instructors who had answered ‘Seldom’ had been much less amounting 6%. This changed into further supported via the interviews held with teachers in 1C schools where there has been a perception

“Principals in our schools by no means study our teaching”. The effects similarly revealed that the retention of certified, experienced instructors has become a first-rate task for principals of type 1C faculties as the teachers of those colleges are looking to get transfers to popular faculties within the country.

IV. DISCUSSION

Instructional supervision is crafted through making certain the use of the instructive strategic a college by way of directing, giving, and permitting instructors to offer massive gaining knowledge of encounters to understudies. In this manner, unmistakably the precept motivation at the back of instructional supervision is to help teachers to understand their characteristics, territories that want improvement and consequently enhance their displaying competencies which straightforwardly advantage understudies' extended diploma of instructive execution. Additionally it's been decided that there can be a direct connection among academic supervision and expert advancement of educators. Like this view Anderson et al., (2008) perceived educational supervision as one of the most large apparatuses that may be applied in constructing possible professional development of educators. As indicated via manner of Hallinger and Murphy (1985) principals as educational pioneers within the school require to participate in task of tutorial supervision as incessant instructional dialogs continually assist instructors to percentage their encounters and in this manner bolster proficient development. Hence, the pinnacle as an academic pioneer of the college should spur educators through taking element in instructional supervision, maintaining post observational gathering and giving complaint fundamental in order to instructors to improve aptitudes as to look at corridor teaching.

CONCLUSION

In light of the consequences of this exam, it is clean that the principals running in 1C faculties do now

not take part in educational supervision to a appropriate level. Additionally it determined from this studies that the educational supervisory groups are likewise not labored sufficiently. Thusly, educators operating in kind 1C faculties don't have a sufficient open door understand their exceptional and the territories that should be improved in their academic practices. Hence, which will enhance the instructional acts of instructors and their professional development academic supervision thru the college principals together with the individuals from the supervisory group ought to be propelled and performed and everyday in-control making prepared need to get hold of to principals educators just as sectional heads and trouble heads at the most gifted technique to direct educational supervision in colleges.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexander, K.L., Entwisle, D.R., and Olsen, L.S. (2001). Schools, accomplishment and imbalance: A ordinary factor of view. *Training Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 23 (2), 171-191.
- [2] Alig-Mielcarek, J.M. (2003). A version of college achievement, academic management, scholarly press, and understudy accomplishment. *Thesis Abstracts*. The Ohio State College.
- [3] Andrews, R., and Soder, R. (1987). Head management and understudy accomplishment. *Instructive Leadership*, 40 4, 11th of September.
- [4] Drinking spree Sebring, P., and Bryk, A. (2000). School initiative and the number one task in Chicago. *Phi Delta Kappan*, eighty one, 440-449.
- [5] Firth, G.R., and Pajak, E.F. (1998). *Handbook of research on faculty supervision*. New York: Macmillan.
- [6] Fullan, M. (2005). Initiative and manageability, frameworks masterminds in

- actual existence. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications
- [7] Goldsberry, L.F. (1998). In G.R. Firth and E.F. Pajak(Eds.), Handbook of studies on school supervision (pp. 428-462). New York: Macmillan.
- [8] Hallinger, P., and Heck, R. (1996). Reevaluating the number one's challenge in collegeviability: A survey of the exact studies. *Instructive Administration Quarterly*, 32(1), five-44.
- [9] Hallinger, P., Bickman, L., and Davis, K. (1996). School putting, head authority, and understudy know-how accomplishment. *The Elementary School Journal*, 96, 527-549.
- [10] Hargreaves, D.H. (1995). School subculture, university adequacy and university development. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*,6(1), 23-forty six.
- [11] Hoxby, C.M. (2000). The impacts of class period on understudy accomplishment: New evidence from population range. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, one hundred fifteen(4), 1239-1285.
- [12] Leithwood, K., Seashore Louis, K., Anderson, S., and Wahlstrom, K. (2004). How initiative influences understudy studying. College of Minnesota: Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement.
- [13] Marzano, R.J. (2003). What works in schools: Translating exam energetically. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- [14] Marzano, R.T., Waters, T., and McNulty, B. (2005). School administration that works: From studies to results. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Advancement.
- [15] MetLife Survey. (2003). An assessment of school administration. New York: MetLife. National Commission on Excellence in Education, (1983). A u .S .A . In danger: The primary for instructive exchange, April 1983.
- [16] Onwueghbuzie, A.J., and Teddlie, C. (2003). A form for dissecting statistics in blended strategies study. In A. Tashakkori and C. Teddlie (Eds.), Handbook of blended strategies in social and conduct study (pp. 351-383. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [17] Pajak, E. (2006). Improving understudy accomplishment via instructional software program control. Paper delivered at the every year fall meeting of the Council of Professors of Instructional Supervision (COPIS). Gainesville, FL.
- [18] Reeves, D.B. (2002). The each day orders of authority: How to beautify understudy accomplishment, team of workers idea, and person association. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- [19] Scheurich, J. And Skrla, L. (2003). Administration for cost and greatness: Creating excessive accomplishment study halls, colleges, and regions. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press, Inc. 28
- [20] Waters, T., Marzano, R.T., and McNulty, B. (2003). Adjusted control: What 30 years of research educates us concerning the impact of control on understudy accomplishment: A working paper. Aurora, CO: Mid-Continental Regional Educational Lab.
- [21] Witziers, B., Bosker, R.J., and Kruger, M.L. (2003). Instructive initiative and understudy accomplishment: The diffused quest for an association. *Instructive Administration Quarterly*, 39(three), 398-425.