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#### Abstract

Let $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} Z^{p+n}$ analytic in $u=\{z:|z|<1\}$, such that $p+\frac{1}{b}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}=$ $p(1-\mu)+p \mu\left\{\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right\}, z \in u$, where $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,0<\mu \leq 1, \lambda>-p$ and $b$ is any non-zero complex number. In this paper, we investigate certain properties of the above-mentioned class.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}, p$ is a positive integer, which are analytic in the unit disc $u=\{z:|z|<1\}$. If $f$ and $g$ are any two functions in the class $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ such that $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$ and $g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}$, then the convolution or Hadamard product of $f$ and $g$, denoted by $f * g$, is defined by the power series

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)= & \frac{z^{p}\left(z^{\lambda-1} f(z)\right)^{(\lambda+p-1)}}{(\lambda+p-1)!}, \lambda \\
& >-p
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, following Al-Amiri [1], we shall1 refer to $D^{\lambda+p-1}, f(z)$ as the $(\lambda+$ $p-1)^{\text {th }}$ order Rugcheweyh derivative of the function $f$. It ia easy to observe that

$$
D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\lambda+p}} * f(z)
$$

Goel and Sohi [5] studied the class $\delta_{\lambda, p}(\beta)$ of those functions of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ which satisfy
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}\right\}>p \beta, z \in u$
where $0 \leq \beta<1$. They showed that the functions in the class $S_{\lambda, p}(\beta)$ are $p$-valent in $u$. As usual for other class of $p$-valent functions, $\beta$ may be called the order of function in the class $\delta_{\lambda, p}(\beta)$, Aouf ([2],[3]) and Nasr and Aouf ([9], [10], [11], [12]) have introduced some classes of univalent and $p$-valent functions of complex order. But no one has, so far, introduced a class of functions of complex order in this direction defined by Convolution. Considering this natural problem, we now introduce a class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ as defined below:

A function of $f$ of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ belongs to the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ if and only if there exist a function $W(z)$ analytic in $u$ and satisfying $W(o)=0$ and $|W(z)|<1$ for $z \in u$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
p+ & \frac{1}{b}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}=p(1-\mu)+ \\
& p \mu\left[\frac{1+A W(z)}{1+B W(z)}\right], z \in u \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1,0<\mu \leq 1, \lambda>$ $-p$ and $b$ is any non-zero complex number.

It is easy to see that the condition (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-p}{z^{p-1}}}{\mu(A-B) b p-B\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}\right|<1, z \in u . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By giving specific value to $\lambda, \mu, b, A$ and $B$ in (1.3), we obtain the
following subclasses studied by various researchers in earlier works:
(i) For $b=\cos \delta e^{-i \delta}$, we obtain the class of functions $f(z)$ satisfying the condition
$\left|\frac{e^{i \delta}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}{\mu(A-B) p \cos \delta-B e^{i \delta}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}\right|$
$<1, z \in u$.
where $\delta \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, studied by Shukla and Chaudhary [13].
(ii) For $\mu=1$ and $b=1$, we obtain the class of functions $f(z)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}{(A-B) p-B\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}\right|
$$

studied by Kumar and Shukla [8].
(iii) For $\mu=1, A=(1-2 \beta), B=-1$ and $b=1$, we obtain the class of functions $f(z)$ satisfying the condition (1.1), studied by Goel and Sohi [5].
(iv) For $\mu=1, b=1$ and $\lambda=1-p$, we obtain the class of functions $f(z)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p}{(A-B) p-B\left\{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}}\right|<1, z \in u
$$

studied by Chen [4].
Thus the study of the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ provides a unified approach for the classes considered by Shukla and Chaudhary [13], Kumar and Shukla [8], Goel and Sohi [5], and Chen [4].

In the present paper, firstly, we obtain the basic inclusion relation
$V_{\lambda+1, p, \mu}^{b}[a, B] \subset V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$.
Then we obtain coefficient estimate, sufficient condition in terms of coefficients distortion theorem and maximization of $\left|a_{p+2}-\beta a_{p+1}^{2}\right|$ over the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$. In the last, we show that the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ is closed under "arithmetic mean".

## 2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We state lemma 2.1 due to Jack [6], lemma 2.2 due to Keogh and Merkes [7] and prove a lemma 2.3 that are needed in section 3 .

Lemma 2.1. If the function $W$ is analytic for $\quad|z| \leq r<1, W(o)=0 \quad$ and $\left|W\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max _{|z|=r}|W(z)|$, then

$$
z_{0} W^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\xi W\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

where $\xi$ is a real number such that $\xi \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $W(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ be analytic with $|W(z)|<1$ in $u$. If $d$ is a complex number, then

$$
\left|c_{2}-d c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \mathrm{m} \quad\{1,|d|\} .
$$

Equality may be attained with the functions $W(z)=z^{2}, W(z)=z$.

Lemma 2.3. A function $f(z)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ belongs to the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B],-1<$ $B<A \leq 1$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{p}(z)-m\right|<M, z \in u \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$G_{p}(z)=p+\frac{1}{b}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right\}$

$$
m=p-\frac{\mu(A-B) B p}{1-B^{2}}
$$

and

$$
M=\frac{\mu(A-B) p}{1-B^{2}} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$.
Then from (1.2) we have

$$
G_{p}(z)=p\left[\frac{1+(\mu(A-B)+B) W(z)}{1+B W(z)}\right]
$$

where $G_{p}(z)$ is defined by (2.2).
Therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{p}(z)-m=\frac{(p-m)+[\{B+\mu(A-B)\} p-B m] W(z)}{1+B W(z)} \\
=M\left[\frac{B+W(z)}{1+B W(z)}\right]=M h(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that the function $h(z)$ satisfies $|h(z)|<1$. Hence (2.1) follows from (2.3). Conversely, suppose that the inequality (2.1) holds. Then

$$
\left|\frac{G_{p}(z)}{M}-\frac{m}{M}\right|<1
$$

Let

$$
g(z)=\frac{G_{p}(z)}{M}-\frac{m}{M}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
W(z) & =\frac{g(z)-g(0)}{1-g(0) g(z)} \\
& =\frac{\left\{G_{p}(z)-p\right\}}{\mu(A-B) p-B\left\{G_{p}(z)-p\right\}} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $W(0)=0$ and $|W(z)|<$ 1, Rearranging (2.4) we arrive at (1.3). Hence $f(z) \in V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b} \mu,[A, B]$
Note. The condition (2.1) can also be written as

$$
\left\lvert\, \frac{(1-B)\left(G_{p}(z)-p\right)+\mu(A-B) p}{\mu(A-B) p}\right., \quad \begin{aligned}
& \left.-\frac{1}{1+B} \right\rvert\,<\frac{1}{1+B}, z \in u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now as $B \rightarrow-1$, the above condition reduces to

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[G_{p}(z)\right]>\frac{1}{2}[2-\mu(1+A)] p, z \in u
$$ which is equivalent to (1.3), when $B=$ -1 . Thus including the limiting case $B \rightarrow$ -1 , the results proved with the help of above lemma will hold for $-1 \leq B<A \leq$ 1.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

The proof of each of the following theorems runs parallel to that of the corresponding assertion made by Shukla and Chaudhary [13] in the special case $b=$ $\cos \delta e^{-i \delta}$, and we omit the details involved.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be any integer such that $\lambda_{0}>\lambda$. Then

$$
V_{\lambda_{0}, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B] \subset V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B] .
$$

Theorem 3.2. If $f(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$ belongs to the class $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$, then
$\left|a_{p+n}\right| \leq \frac{\mu(A-B) p|b|}{(p+n) \alpha(\lambda, n)}, n=1,2, \ldots$
where
$\alpha(\lambda, n)=\left[\begin{array}{l}\lambda+p+n-1 \\ \lambda+p-1\end{array}\right]$
The inequality (3.1) is sharp.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$ be analytic in $u$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { If } \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-B) \alpha(\lambda, n)(p+n)\left|a_{p+n}\right| \leq \\
& \quad \mu(A-B) p|b|, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha(\lambda, n)$ is defined by (3.2), then $f \in$ $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$. The inequality (3.3) is sharp. Further, the converse need not be true.

Theorem 3.4. If $f \in V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p\left(1-B^{2} r^{2}\right)-p \mu B r^{2}(A-B) \operatorname{Re}(b)+\mu(A-B) p|b| r}{1-B^{2} r^{2}} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p-1}}\right\} \\
\leq \frac{p\left(1-B^{2} r^{2}\right)-p \mu B r^{2}(A-B) \operatorname{Re}(b)+\mu(A-B) p|b| r}{1-B^{2} r^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

These inequalities are sharp.

Theorem 3.5. If $f(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}$ belongs to the class $V_{\lambda, p \mu}^{b}[A, B]$, then for any complex number $\beta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{p+2}-\beta a_{p+1}^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\mu(A-B) p|b|}{\alpha(\lambda, 2)(p+2)} \mathrm{m}\{1,|d|\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
d
$=\frac{\mu(A-B) b p \beta \alpha(\lambda, 2)(p+2)+B\{\alpha(\lambda, 1)\}^{2}(p+1)^{2}}{\{\alpha(\lambda, 1)\}^{2}(p+1)^{2}}$.
The result is sharp.

Theorem 3.6. Let $c$ be a real number such that $c>-p$. If $f(z) \in V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$
F(z)=\frac{(c+p)}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t
$$

also belongs to the $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$.


Theorem 3.7. If the function $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$
F(z)=s f(z)+(1-s) g(z)
$$

also belongs to $V_{\lambda, p, \mu}^{b}[A, B]$.
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