

# Efficiency Comparison of Network Simulators.

Maan Y Anad Alsaleem<sup>1</sup>, Dhafer Sabah Yaseen<sup>2</sup>, Samahfakhri Aziz<sup>3</sup>

Maan y anad alsaleem<sup>1</sup>

Directorate of Education of Nineveh

Email: maanyounis1983@gmail.com

Dhafer Sabah Yaseen<sup>2</sup>

Department of Computer science, University of AL-Hamdaniya

Email: dhafer.sabah.uohamdaniya.edu.iq

Samahfakhri Aziz<sup>3</sup>

Department of Computer science, University of AL-Hamdaniya

Email: samahfamm@gmail.com

## Article Info

Volume 82

Page Number: 3368 - 3371

Publication Issue:

January-February 2020

## Article History

Article Received: 18 May 2019

Revised: 14 July 2019

Accepted: 22 December 2019

Publication: 20 January 2020

## Abstract:

This paper presents comparative study between two network simulators (NS-3, OPNET), based on the software used and architectural simulator and Required Resources. The main focus of this paper is to compare the performance of these two simulators under similar conditions and at the same time, then measuring the (time and memory) for each simulator and recorded and analysis, to identify an optimal network simulator for the research society.

**Keywords:** Network Simulator, NS3, OPNET, NS2, Memory usage, Network size.

## I. INTRODUCTION

With increasing interest and continuous development of computer networks, technologies and protocols used in experiments to determine the topology and protocols best suited and test those networks in a virtual method before they are applied in the real world .Network simulation is used in different areas, academic, researchers, industrial development, to analyze, design, simulate and verify the performance of different network protocols [11].It became one of the important networking fields of study and became necessary to find a program that simulates networks and can test algorithms to obtain the results without the implementation in the real world.Because it is expensive, this has led to the emergence of network simulation programs, where NS-3 OPNET NETSIM is the most important programs that has been used on commercial scale and research.[1][2]

## II. RELATED WORK

R. Chaudhary, S. Sethi, R. Keshari, S. Goel in (2012) Compared NS-2 and NS-3 They found NS-3 does not have all of the models that NS-2

currently has on the other hand, NS-3 does have new capabilities.[3]

In this paper we study and compare the two types of simulator (NS-3, OPNET) in terms of the efficiency of each and sources required for different network sizes, architectural simulator, protocols supported, and compare the results and analysis under similar conditions.

## COMPARISON BETWEEN NS-3 AND OPNET TECHNICALLY

NS-3 is object-oriented program targeted primarily for research and educational use in 2006, is an open-source project developing ns-3 [10]. It was written using the C ++ language and Python.Those two languages have strength and weakness point, NS merging these two languages worked on integration. Ns3 is implemented entirely in C++ and support of python for scripting and visualization [11].Ns-3 uses to simulate the wired and wireless networks. It is also used to simulate the local area networks and wide area ones. [2][4]




Figure 1.Ns-3 architecture

OPNET is a tool for simulations of networks. It is able to work with OSI model because it can handle the seven-layer of OSI to modify the physical parameters. OPNET Modeler comprises several tools, each one focusing on specific task.[4][5][6]




Figure 2.OPNET Architecture

## THE SUPPORTED NETWORK TECHNOLOGIES

Both OPNET and NS-3 support many of the protocols, routing algorithms, and various application technologies used in wireless and wire networks. (Table 1) shows the protocols that are supported by each emulator for the various levels.[7]

TABLE 1. NETWORK PROTOCOLS  
[4][5][8][9]

	Network protocols supported by the ns-3	Network protocols supported by the opnet
Application level Protocols	HTTP, TELNET, SMTP, FTP, DNS	HTTP, Telnet, DNS, POP3, SMTP, FTP
Transport level Protocols	TCP, UDP	TCP, UDP, RTP, SRM, NCP

Scheduling disciplines	drop-tail, RED, FQ, SFQ, DRR, RR	Drop Tail, FIFO, RED, CBQ, WFQ, SFQ, DRR, FQ, RIP, OSPF, BGP, IGRP, EIGRP, IS-IS
Wireless Networking	Ad-hoc routing and mobile IP: AODV, Sensor-MAC, WiMAX, Power control in wireless networks	Ad-Hoc Routing protocols, Multi-hop routing protocols (DSR, AODV, TORA, DSDV)

## SIMULATION SETUP AND RESULTS

A simple simulation has been designed using NS-3 and OPNET Network simulators. The NS-3 and OPNET installed on Ubuntu 16.04 machine with core i7 (1.73 GHz) of processor, RAM 4G. As shown in (Fig 3), The nodes are arranged into square shaped topology, the network consists of  $A \times A$  ( $A \in [3, 20]$ ). The node number one as sender and sends the packet to its neighboring node, which is then forwarded by each node to the respective neighboring nodes, and finally arrive into receiver in order to make the network as simple as possible in both Simulators. The simulations used in the modeling scripts are as consistent as possible. The packet is sent from End through a number of intermediate nodes to the receiving end, in addition to artificial in the link on the set data transmission packet loss rate, the collision and congestion are not considered. This design of the network and the reality of the network is very different which is also the reason why it is called an abstract network.

After completion of the first network  $3 \times 3$  node, we gradually expand the network to  $20 \times 20$ , then register the consumption of both memory and CPU in terms of time and data for each stage of the expansion of the network.




Figure (3): Network Topology (size=9)

In the end, presented in the analytical charts to compare all of the performance simulator:

1. In Figure (4), compared to consumption of both the simulator in terms of memory depending on the size of the given network.




Figure 4. Memory usage vs. Network size

2. In Figure (5), compared to consumption of both the simulator in terms of time depending on the size of the given network.




Figure 5. Time vs. Network size

### III. CONCLUSION

When the network is small size, both the simulator requires the same sources of memory and a processor almost. On the other hand, when increase the size of the network and the number of nodes are increased, the OPNET more effective than NS3 one hand sources. NS3 can work on the GUI Platform because it is open source, and the other hand NS2 have script larger for the same reason. NS3 network model established need to use a scripting language Python. In general, the simulator OPNET is used wider for business, while NS3 is used for research purposes academy.

### IV. REFERENCES

- [1] V. Mishra , S. Jangale, "Analysis and comparison of different network simulators", 2014, (IJAIEEM).
- [2] The ns-3 network simulator <http://www.nsnam.org>.
- [3] R. Chaudhary, S. Sethi, R. Keshari, S. Geol, "A study of comparison of Network Simulator-3 and Network Simulator-2", Vol(3) Issue (1) , 2012, 3085 – 3092, (IJCSIT).
- [4] S. Saxena, S. Husain, " Network Simulator, Technique of implementing the network on the computer", 2015, Vol (1), Issue (9), (IJSEAS)
- [5] S. Sethe, Y. Hnatyshin, "The Practical OPNET User Guide for computer Network Simulation", 2012, CRC Press, (5-7).
- [6] NS-3 development team. NS-3 network simulator, ns-3 tutorial,

- 2012.<https://www.nsnam.org/release/3.16/tutorial/ns-3-tutorial.pdf>
- [7] S. Siraj , A. Kumar , R.Badguja," Network Simulation Tools Survey", 2012, Vol (1), Issue (4), (IJARCCE)
- [8] R. Patel, P. Kamboj," Investigation of Network Simulation Tools and Comparison Study: NS3 vs NS2",2015, Vol(5), Issue 2, (JNCET)
- [9] OPNET [Online] Availabe:  
[http://www.opnet.com/university\\_program/teaching\\_with\\_opnet/textbooks\\_and\\_materials/index.html](http://www.opnet.com/university_program/teaching_with_opnet/textbooks_and_materials/index.html).
- [10] ArvindT ,(2016) "A Comparative Study of Various Network Simulation Tools" , International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering Technology ,Aug,. 7,. 8,.374-378.
- [11] Pallavi S.Katkar, Dr.Vijay R. Ghorpade,(2016) " Comparative Study of Network Simulator: NS2 and NS3", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume(6), Issue(3), (IJARCSSE).
- [12] Oleksandr Lemeshko, Maryna Yevdokymenko, Naors Y. AnadAlsalem (2018) ,"DEVELOPMENT OF THE TENSOR MODEL OF MULTIPATH QOE-ROUTING IN AN INFOCOMMUNICATION NETWORK WITH PROVIDING THE REQUIRED QUALITY RATING" Vol 5, No 2 (95) (2018).
- [13] Tian, M., Ebadi, A., Jermisittiparsert, K., Kadyrov, M., Ponomarev, A., Javanshir, N., &Nojavan, S. (2019). Risk-Based Stochastic Scheduling of Energy Hub System in the Presence of Heating Network and Thermal Energy Management. *Applied Thermal Engineering* 159, 113825.