

Dynamic Resource Allocation for Distributed Systems and Cloud Computing

Lailan M. Haji¹, Subhi R. M. Zeebaree², Omar M. Ahmed³, Amira B. Sallow⁴,
Karwan Jacksi⁵, Rizgar R. Zeabri⁶

¹Universaty of Zakho, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

²Duhok Polytechnic University, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

³Duhok Polytechnic University, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq

⁴Nawroz University, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

⁶Universaty of Zakho, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq.

⁵Duhok Polytechnic University, Duhok – Kurdistan Region, Iraq

Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 22417 – 22426

Publication Issue:

May-June 2020

Abstract

a system that comprises of self-sufficient computers that are associated utilizing a conveyance middleware is called distributed system. Also, computations-over-Internet are fundamentals in the field of present-day processing frameworks. Where cloud suppliers need to give a successful asset to the clients to expand its QoS. The computations-over-Internet condition relates to the place numerous clients' sign-in, taking in consideration that Internet-resources have to assigned animatedly as focussing on the cost composition. This paper addresses many strategies of resources-assigning depending on QoS efficiency with performing friendly used tasks. Furthermore, interest points, limitations and restrictions for this assigning process will be considered.

Keywords: S Cloud computing, resource allocation, cloudcomputing environment, pricing schema.

Article History

Article Received: 11 May 2020

Revised: 19 May 2020

Accepted: 29 May 2020

Publication: 12 June 2020

I. Introduction

The previous works usually addressed resources-specification issue when using the Internet. A system that comprises of self-sufficient PCs that are associated utilizing a conveyance middleware is called distributed system[1], [2] They help in sharing distinctive resources and capacities to supply clients with a solitary and incorporated solid system. distributed system has some key features such as (1) resources are allowed to be shared as well as the software from other systems that are connected to the network, in other words, components in the system are synchronous[3]. (2) in the distributed system, it's not necessary to have

a global clock (3) in a distributed model the fault tolerance is much bigger than other models of network thus the ratio of performance/price is much better[4]. In a distributed system the key goals are transparency, openness, reliability, performance, and scalability. Transparency is accomplishing the picture of a solitary framework picture without hiding the details to the clients as the access, location, migration, failure, concurrency, assets, relocation, and persistence. Making it simpler to adjust and configure the network is called openness[5]. Reliability of a distributed system is the high ability of error masking, security and consistency[6]. Performance is measured by the capability of giving the expected much-wanted

boost. Distributed systems should be scalable concerning topography, organization or size[7]–[9]. The distributed system may face some problem and challenges as security; which is a huge challenge in the environment of the distributed system. Particularly when utilizing a network that is public, Fault tolerance is another problem in the distributed model when it's constructed on unreliable based assets [10]. Without proper protocols or policies the coordination and resource sharing is a big challenge in distributed environment[11].

Delivering the service of computational resource service over a network (internet) is the basic idea of cloud computing. For clients to conduct their business, they are not obligated to have a large and complicated computer system; rather, they could obtain cloud computing services based on their requests. The basic equipment is regularly facilitated in huge DCs utilizing complex virtualization practices to acknowledge high-level scalability, accessibility, and agility[12], [13]. However, cloud resources allocation became a topic of researches that led to remarkable development in algorithms and methods. The cloud resources had become the main focus of the telecommunication practitioners to be used for the developed applications. The technique of resources-assigning is necessary which allow to consider mind-boggling communications among persons who interest in using the ready-programs. conveyed in various server [14], [15].

The vital concepts to keep running the ready-programs via the framework, is the resources-assigning for computations[16], [17]. At point client considered procedure, thusly dispense have the capacity. When a client starts to provide the user with a service, it is meaning that a process-creation operation is done. Hence the client will dispense the needed-resources for that process to be executed correctly[18], [19]. Such resources could approach an area of the computers's memory, information in a

gadget interface cushion, at least one records, or the required measure of preparing power. A fundamental system to take advantage of the time-space recurrence variety in remote channels is Dynamic Resource Allocation, by adaptively conveying valuable radio resources, for example, range and power, to either expand or limit the concerned system execution measurements[20]. In conventional static resource allocation procedures, subchannelsare conveyed in a foreordained way; that is, every client is allotted settled frequency bands paying little heed to the channel status. For this situation, the resource allocation issue diminishes to control allocation or bits stacking on each subchannel, which neglects to completely misuse the capability of multi-user decent variety in remote condition. The fundamental target of this review is locating better strategy for resources-assigning. This assigning process means: incorporation of of Internet-resources-discovering suppliers, VM booking, resources-exploiting dependent on the evaluating scheme efficiently, and dealing with the workload for every resource. The difficulties within this assigning procedure are will be deliberated for each reference[21], [22].

II. Impact of Resources Allocation

The main target of resources-specification for 3rd parties in cloud environment is called resource allocation. Based on the time of allocation and the pricing schema the resource allocations are done. Two factors are to be avoided in resource allocations[7]:

- Resource over-provisioning: this intricacy occurs if available incomes less than soled ones.

Resources: it is a dilemma that appears if incomes are fewer than the persons and systems required

III. Types Of Resources

For the resource types, they were considered according to the resource management schema in the subjected cloud that provided these resources:

A. Computing Resource

Computing resources include a collection of network, input/output devices, memory, and processor in the cloud environment, the input/output devices are called the physical machines (PM). The computing resources must be purchased and/or allocated according to the needs of the user. The virtual machine concept comes under PM, where the physical machine creates virtual software for the user so that the user can run on a VM in different application, operating system and platform[23].

B. Networking Resources

Problems such as bandwidth communication, storage, traffic, and other challenges appear in networking, these issues can be taken care of and the QoS of the cloud can be enhanced by working on protocols[24].

C. Storage Resources

The scalability of storage needs to be achieved by taking into consideration the property of ACID (atomicity, consistency, isolation, durability). Recently the cloud storage has been based on the technologies of “NO SQL” data storage, under some functional condition that has been included for storing key-value and documents[25].

D. Power Resources

Power resource deals with the usage of power per day by the system. The amount of consumed energy by the system when allocating and/or providing the resource is much less than the energy consumed when it is idle or waiting for allocating the resource[26], [27]. Thus, another technology emerges for saving or less consumption of the power which is green-cloud computing[28].

IV. Dynamic Resource Allocation State of Art

Tsai, and Chiang [29] proposed for the femtocell networks an algorithm of dynamic allocation of resource. The proposed algorithm consists of three phase and Femtocell user equipment (FUE) mobility is considered. Diagram based bunching is first embraced by the weighted impedance of each femtocell. At that point, each femto base station (FBS) appoints the sub channels to its served FUEs one-by-one solely. Last, when another FUE enters a femtocell with no accessible sub channel, it goes about as an FBS and "pseudo takes" a sub channel if conceivable. The results of the simulation showed that the suggested pseudo taking can enhance the general throughput as well as lessening the blackout likelihood of FUEs' accesses. Neethu and Babu[30] concentrated on a preferably web based advertising for the two clients and suppliers for allocation of resources. The fundamental parts of their exploration were combinatorial close out with dynamic allocation of resource for resource provisioning. Cloud computing oriented market focused mainly on the layer of Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS). It likewise considers an astute dynamic resource allocation in light of the present market rate in view of interest. The modified combinatorial double auction technique utilized for identifying a legit cloud benefit buyers and cloud specialist organizations. Ideal value discovery and finding who the champ of the bartering is, are the essential strides in this strategy. Here modified Paddy Field Algorithm (PFA) is utilized for recognizing a best customer and supplier. The rest output results demonstrate that the proposed framework gives better administration fulfillment and fair for the shoppers and better monetary advantage for the service providers.

Lea, and Li [24] proposed an Ad-hoc MCC (mobile cloud computing) to decrease the time of the task response as well as the energy required for applications that run on mobile devices at the time of unavailable infrastructure. They studied several issues in the presented method such as the joint errand task and consequence transmission succession of a task or for the task/task. The hard problem of the NP was solved efficiently by the heuristic algorithm. Broad simulations were led to demonstrate that critical decrease in the general

application reaction deferral was achieved the proposed frameworks. The general application reaction postponement was less when the situation is executed on the mobile device itself. Tao et al. [31] proposed a scheme based on fuzzy logic for allocation of resource in lightweight virtualization environment. Where the containers are used to encapsulate the workflows. Containers are moved into the cluster members. The proposed algorithm that presents another FIS (fuzzy inference system) in light of the present memory, CPU utilization, disk-space guided the transformation process, and data transfer on every node. Experiments were conducted on a minimal effort and resource compelled platform demonstrated that our system gives higher performance than existing strategies of container deployment.

Ning Liu et al. [32] for solving the cloud computing problem of power management and the problem of resource allocation, a structure of hierarchy was proposed. Global tier for Virtual Machine (VM) resource allocation to the servers was involved in the suggested hierarchical structure. Also, the framework was consist of a local tier for power management of the local servers. A side from the enhancement in scalability and the reduction of action/state dimensions of space was performed effectively by the presented hierarchical structure. Moreover, the proposed method accomplished the local power managements of servers in form of distributed and online manner. Hence, more enhancement to the degree of parallelism and makes the online computational complexity to be reduced. To solve the global tier problem the emerging DRL technique was adopted. However, for acceleration a novel structure called weight sharing and an autoencoder are depended. While for the local tier, an LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory) based workload predictor helped a model-free reinforcement learning (RL) based power administrator to decide the proper servers' exploit. Through the use of the actual Google cluster traces, the experimental results illustrated that the suggested hierarchical structure saves power consumption (usage of energy) significantly more than the baseline, in the same time, the average latency is similar. While the suggested structure can deliver the best bartering between power consumption and latency in a server cluster.

Gupta et al. [33]proposed a new method for high priority jobs execution. They aimed to ignore new VM creation for running when a new jobs arrived. While, for a job with high priority all the resources of the VMs were allocated. However, for the job with low priority, they assigned high deadline for them. This led the high priority job with low deadline to run in its resource. The availability of the VMs was checked at the arrival of a new job. When the VM's resource were available, then the newly arrived job was allowed to execute it. On the other hand, the proposed method assigned the resource of the low priority job to the job with high priority to run on it and when the VM is not available. The lease types related with the jobs were Cancellable, unspendable, and Non-Preempt able. The proposed algorithm has scheduling policies making the actual time shorter compared to the expected time. The results of the presented method compared with other creation of a new virtual machine and illustrated that the proposed achieved low overhead

Wang et al. [34] addressed the issue of resource allocation with a comprehensive model that apprehends the key difficulties, then a gap-preserving transformation of the issue was introduced. A novel online algorithm was offered which optimally solves a series of subproblems with a carefully designed logarithmic objective, eventually, feasible solutions were produced for over-time resource allocation of the edge cloud. By utilizing rigorous analysis, it was proved that the proposed online algorithm can provide a parameterized competitive ratio, without any prior knowledge on user mobility and/or resource prices. The effectiveness of the suggested algorithm was confirmed by performing comprehensive experiments with both synthetic and real-world data. The results illustrated near-optimal results for the proposed algorithm with an about 1.1 competitive ratio, also the total cost was reduced by up to 4× in comparison to static approaches, and outperforms the online greedy one-shot optimizations by up to 70%.

Jiao et al [35] proposed an online algorithm that decouples the original offline issue after some time by building a progression of regularized subproblems, resolvable at each comparing vacancy utilizing the result of the previous time slot. With

no prediction except the current time slot, it was confirmed that the algorithm obtains a parameterized competitive ratio for resource costs as well as arbitrarily dynamic workloads. Two novel predictive control algorithms were created that inherit the theoretical guarantees of the proposed online algorithm while exhibiting improved practical performance. The assessments were directed in an assortment of settings dependent on real-world dynamic information sources. It shows that, with no predictions, the achievement of the online algorithm nearly nine times more whole-cost decrease compared with the sequence of greedy one-shot optimizations and also the offline optimum was more up to three times. Meanwhile with medium prediction, the proposed controlling algorithms achieved twice reduction in the total cost when comparing it with the current prediction-based algorithms.

Mohanty et al. [36] offered an integration of vehicular networks and cloud computing in somehow that the computation resources is shared among the vehicles as well as the bandwidth and storage resources. The suggested architecture involves a roadside cloud, a central cloud, and a vehicular cloud. An approach of game-theoretic was presented for optimizing the resource allocation of the cloud. The dilemma of resource allocation in the cloud environment was regarded as a Bayesian strategic game. This model in the scenario of the vehicular cloud uses the cloud market model. They considered many aspects such as the communication between two clients, the cost-impact of the server load, and the SLA between client and provider. The preformed simulation suggested that if the resource is allocated by a client (vehicle) at various machines of different providers, the equilibrium of Nash is achieved when each client allocates more similar at that provider's machine that have higher SLA. When a client has a task that is not vital and time-critical and this task needs to allocate resources it favours to allocate it as a provider with which it has a lower SLA. Thus, reducing the cost. However, it is shown that this undertaking will require more opportunity to be capable at a loaded server at this supplier and along these lines, it will pay extra.

Tang et al. [37] proposed algorithms of dynamic resource allocation for the cloud-edge environment.

The proposed algorithms are the algorithm of resource scheduling and an algorithm for resource matching. The stored penalty of scheduling contents can obtain the problem of resource scheduling in the first algorithm. After that, the optimal solution is found for the scheduling problem by applying the "tabu" search algorithm. Moreover, cloud datacenter schedule resources with the optimal solution into the edge servers. Depending on the task priority, the resource location, and the cost of network transmission, an optimization problem of the resource matching is built in the second proposed algorithm. Furthermore, by adding the spurious containers, an optimal matching problem of the weighted complete bipartite graph is created. Thus, achieving the optimal strategy of the resources matching for the task on the edge server. The results showed that network delay is reduced as well as the QoS is enhanced effectively by applying proposed algorithms.

Xavier et al. [38] proposed an algorithm for resource allocation in CoT (Cloud of Things) systems that have three major characteristics. Firstly support application and devices' heterogeneity, secondly leverage the distributed nature of edge nodes for promoting collaboration throughout the process of allocation and thirdly implement efficient usage for the resources of the system while fulfilling the requirements of latency and recognising various priorities of IoT application. The experimental result illustrated that by comparing the proposed algorithm to a two-tier Cloud-based approach, the proposed algorithm of resource allocation has more scalability, meanwhile, the response time for applications is reduced and the used power at the end devices is reduced as well as a reduction in the overall data-traffic generated in the system. Moreover, comparing to other evaluated approaches, the traffic of the network between edge nodes themselves, and between the Cloud tiers and Edge, is extremely less while using the collaborative solution. The mechanism of data-sharing empowered by keeping sensing data stored in local caches at the Edge tier improves the system capability to engage a larger number of applications without any more data being collected from the end devices.

Nahaa et al. [39] proposed resource allocation and provisioning algorithms, for addressing the issue of

gratifying the requirements of the deadline-based dynamic user, by utilizing provision and ranking of resources in a hierarchical and hybrid fashion. The evaluation of the proposed algorithms is done by extending the CloudSim toolkit in a simulation environment to simulate a realistic Fog environment. Simulation experimental conclusions indicated that the proposed algorithms performed better compared with other existing algorithms in aspects of data processing overall-time, cost of instance and the delay in the network while

increasing the number of application submissions. The suggested algorithm helps to decrease and cost and average processing time by 15% and 12% respectively.

V. Summary

A summary of the previously mentioned studies about Dynamic Resource Allocation for Distributed Systems techniques are shown in the table below:

Table 1: Summarization of the Literature

Ref.	Year	Name of the Authors	Short Description
[29]	2016	Yao-jan Laing, Yi-lenTasai, KaeiChianng,	Proposed for the femtocell networks an algorithm of dynamic allocation of resource. The proposed algorithm consists of three phase.
[30]	2016	B. Neethu, K. R. RemeshBabu,	Concentrated on a preferably web based advertising for the two clients and suppliers for allocation of resources. The fundamental parts of their exploration were combinatorial close out with dynamic allocation of resource for resource provisioning.
[24]	2017	Weiwei Chen, Chin-Tau Lea, Kenli Li,	Proposed an Ad-hoc MCC (mobile cloud computing) to decrease the time of the task response as well as the energy required for applications that run on mobile devices at the time of unavailable infrastructure.
[31]	2017	Yee Tao, XioadongWanng, XioaweiXui, Yinoong Chan,	Proposed a scheme based on fuzzy logic for allocation of resource in an environment of light-weighted virtualization, where the containers are used to encapsulate the workflows.
[32]	2017	Ning Liu, Zhe Li, Jielong Xu, Zhiyuan Xu, Sheng Lin, QinruQiu, Jian Tang, Yanzhi Wang	A hierarchical framework is proposed. The suggested hierarchical structure comprises the servers and a local tier to a global tier for virtual machine resource allocation for power management of local servers

[33]	2017	Priya Gupta, MakrandSamvatsar, Upendra Singh	Proposed a new method for high priority jobs execution. , when the VM is not available, then the proposed method finds a low priority job preempts it and release its [resources thus allowing the high priority job to run on that resources.
[34]	2017	LiinWeng, Lai Jaio, June Lei, Max Mohlhauser	A novel online algorithm was offered. By utilizing rigorous analysis, it was proved that the proposed online algorithm can provide a parameterized competitive ratio, without any prior knowledge on user mobility and/or resource prices.
[35]	2017	Lai Jaio, AntoinaMarriaTolino, JimeLloirca, YeuJiin, AlesandraSela,	Proposed an online algorithm that decouples the original offline issue after some time by building a progression of regularized subproblems, resolvable at each comparing vacancy utilizing the result of the previous time slot.
[36]	2018	PresantMohenty, Levitra Kumr, MedhuriMelakar, Surej K Vishwakrma, Motehar Raza	Offered an integration of vehicular networks and cloud computing in somehow that the computation resources is shared among the vehicles as well as the bandwidth and storage resources.
[37]	2019	HangliangTeng, Chonlin Li, Jinpan Bari, Jaing Hang Teng, Yuolong Lou	Proposed algorithms of dynamic resource allocation for the cloud-edge environment. The proposed algorithms are the algorithm of resource scheduling and an algorithm for resource matching. The results showed that network delay is reduced as well as the QoS is enhanced effectively by applying proposed algorithms.
[38]	2020	Taigo C.S. Xavier , Igoor L. Santos, Flevia C. Delicato, Paolu F. Pires, Mercelo P. Alves, Tiego S. Caelmon, Ana C. Oliveira, Cleudio L. Amorim	Proposed an algorithm for resource allocation in CoT (Cloud of Things) systems that have three major characteristics. The experimental result illustrated that by comparing the proposed algorithm to a two-tier Cloud-based approach, the proposed algorithm of resource allocation has more scalability, meanwhile, the response time for applications is reduced and the used power at the end devices is reduced as well as a reduction in the overall data-traffic generated in the system.
[39]	2020	ReneshKumr Neha, SeurabhGerg, Andrw Chen, SudherKumrBatula	Proposed resource allocation and provisioning algorithms, for addressing the issue of gratifying the requirements of the deadline-based dynamic user. Simulation experimental

conclusions indicated that the proposed algorithms performed better compared with other existing algorithms in aspects of data processing overall-time, cost of instance and the delay in the network while increasing the number of application submissions

VI. Conclusion

In this study resource allocation in the distributed systems has been discussed, the exchange finishes up the structure for the resource allocation's distributed environment. In light of the accessible writing, all the metric to enhance the QoS with upsides and downsides of each paper for different allocation components as talked about before. Since the resource allocation is the main idea of cloud computing and different systems have been managed for allocation in the cloud environment, the allocation instruments change as indicated by the development techniques at various levels of cloud. In this survey paper many strategies of resource allocation with respect to efficiency QoS, and performance, were discussed. Furthermore, the paper examines about the restrictions and points of interest of resource allocation instrument in cloud computing.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. N. Rashid, S. R. Zeebaree, and A. Shengul, "Design and Analysis of Proposed Remote Controlling Distributed Parallel Computing System Over the Cloud," presented at the 2019 International Conference on Advanced Science and Engineering (ICOASE), 2019, pp. 118–123.
- [2] L. M. Haji, R. R. Zebari, S. R. M. Zeebaree, M. A. WAFAA, H. M. Shukur, and O. Alzakholi, "GPUs Impact on Parallel Shared Memory Systems Performance – International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation," May 22, 2020, <https://www.psychosocial.com/article/PR280814/24791/> (accessed May 22, 2020).
- [3] Z. Xiao, W. Song, and Q. Chen, "Dynamic Resource Allocation Using Virtual Machines for Cloud Computing Environment," *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1107–1117, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2012.283.
- [4] N. Harki, A. Ahmed, and L. Haji, "CPU Scheduling Techniques: A Review on Novel Approaches Strategy and Performance Assessment," *J. Appl. Sci. Technol. Trends*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 48–55, 2020.
- [5] D. Warneke and O. Kao, "Exploiting Dynamic Resource Allocation for Efficient Parallel Data Processing in the Cloud," *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 985–997, 2011.
- [6] Z. N. Rashid, S. R. Zebari, K. H. Sharif, and K. Jacksi, "Distributed Cloud Computing and Distributed Parallel Computing: A Review," presented at the 2018 International Conference on Advanced Science and Engineering (ICOASE), 2018, pp. 167–172.
- [7] Q. Zhang, Q. Zhu, and R. Boutaba, "Dynamic Resource Allocation for Spot Markets in Cloud Computing Environments," in *2011 Fourth IEEE International Conference on Utility and Cloud Computing*, 2011, pp. 178–185.
- [8] Z. N. Rashid, K. H. Sharif, and S. Zeebaree, "Client/Servers Clustering Effects on CPU Execution-Time, CPU Usage and CPU Idle Depending on Activities of Parallel-Processing-Technique Operations "," *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 106–111, 2018.
- [9] A. M. Abdulazeez, S. R. Zeebaree, and M. A. Sadeeq, "Design and Implementation of Electronic Student Affairs System," *Acad. J. Nawroz Univ.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 66–73, 2018.
- [10] S. R. Zeebaree, K. Jacksi, and R. R. Zebari, "Impact analysis of SYN flood DDoS attack on HAProxy and NLB cluster-based web servers," *Indones. J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 510–517, 2020.

- [11] J. W. J. Xue, A. P. Chester, L. He, and S. a. Jarvis, "Dynamic Resource Allocation in Enterprise Systems," *2008 14th IEEE Int. Conf. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, pp. 203–212, 2008.
- [12] S. R. Zeebaree, R. R. Zebari, and K. Jacksi, "Performance analysis of IIS10. 0 and Apache2 Cluster-based Web Servers under SYN DDoS Attack," 2020.
- [13] S. R. Zeebaree, A. B. Sallow, B. K. Hussan, and S. M. Ali, "Design and Simulation of High-Speed Parallel/Sequential Simplified DES Code Breaking Based on FPGA," in *2019 International Conference on Advanced Science and Engineering (ICOASE)*, 2019, pp. 76–81.
- [14] S. R. Zeebaree and K. Jacksi, "Effects of Processes Forcing on CPU and Total Execution-Time Using Multiprocessor Shared Memory System," *Int. J. Comput. Eng. Res. Trends*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 275–279, 2015.
- [15] L. M. Haji, S. R. Zeebaree, K. Jacksi, and D. Q. Zeebaree, "A State of Art Survey for OS Performance Improvement," *Sci. J. Univ. Zakho*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 118–123, 2018.
- [16] R. R. Zebari, S. R. Zeebaree, K. Jacksi, and H. M. Shukur, "E-Business Requirements For Flexibility And Implementation Enterprise System: A Review."
- [17] O. M. Ahmed and A. B. Sallow, "Android security: a review," *Acad. J. Nawroz Univ.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 135–140, 2017.
- [18] O. H. Jader, S. R. Zeebaree, and R. R. Zebari, "A State Of Art Survey For Web Server Performance Measurement And Load Balancing Mechanisms."
- [19] O. M. Ahmed and W. M. Abdualлах, "A Review on Recent Steganography Techniques in Cloud Computing," *Acad. J. Nawroz Univ.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 106–111, 2017.
- [20] O. Alzakholi, L. Haji, H. Shukur, R. Zebari, S. Abas, and M. Sadeeq, "Comparison Among Cloud Technologies and Cloud Performance," *J. Appl. Sci. Technol. Trends*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 40–47, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.38094/jastt1219.
- [21] S. R. Zebari and A. S. Yowakib, "Improved Approach for Unbalanced Load-Division Operations Implementation on Hybrid Parallel Processing Systems," *Sci. J. Univ. Zakho*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 832–848, 2013.
- [22] A.-Z. S. R. Zeebaree, A. Z. Adel, K. Jacksi, and A. Selamat, "Designing an ontology of E-learning system for duhok polytechnic university using protégé OWL tool," *J Adv Res Dyn Control Syst Vol*, vol. 11, pp. 24–37.
- [23] B. R. Ibrahim, S. R. M. Zeebaree, and B. K. Hussan, "Performance Measurement for Distributed Systems using 2TA and 3TA based on OPNET Principles," *Sci. J. Univ. Zakho*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 65–69, 2019.
- [24] W. Chen, C.-T. Lea, and K. Li, "Dynamic Resource Allocation in Ad-Hoc Mobile Cloud Computing," in *2017 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [25] Y. O. Yaziret *al.*, "Dynamic Resource Allocation in Computing Clouds Using Distributed Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis," in *2010 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Cloud Computing*, 2010, pp. 91–98.
- [26] S. R. Zeebaree, R. R. Zebari, K. Jacksi, and D. A. Hasan, "Security Approaches For Integrated Enterprise Systems Performance: A Review."
- [27] S. R. Zeebaree, H. M. Shukur, and B. K. Hussan, "Human resource management systems for enterprise organizations: A review," *Period. Eng. Nat. Sci.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 660–669, 2019.
- [28] S. T. Selvi and C. Valliyammai, "Dynamic resource allocation with efficient power utilization in Cloud," *6th Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. ICoAC 2014*, pp. 302–307, 2014.
- [29] Y. Liang, Y. Tsai, and K. Chiang, "A Dynamic Resource Allocation Scheme in Femtocell Networks Considering User Mobility," *22nd Asia-Pac. Conf. Commun. APCC2016 A*, pp. 191–196, 2016.
- [30] B. Neethu and K. R. R. Babu, "Dynamic Resource Allocation in Market Oriented Cloud Using Auction Method," *2016 Int. Conf. Micro-Electron. Telecommun. Eng. ICMETE*, pp. 145–150, 2016.
- [31] Y. Tao, X. Wang, X. Xu, and Y. Chen, "Dynamic Resource Allocation Algorithm for Container-Based Service Computing," in *2017 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Autonomous Decentralized System (ISADS)*, 2017, pp. 61–67.

- [32] N. Liu *et al.*, “A Hierarchical Framework of Cloud Resource Allocation and Power Management Using Deep Reinforcement Learning,” *Proc. - Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, pp. 372–382, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICDCS.2017.123.
- [33] P. Gupta, M. Samvatsar, and U. Singh, “Cloud computing through dynamic resource allocation scheme,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Electron. Commun. Aerosp. Technol. ICECA 2017*, vol. 2017-January, pp. 544–548, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICECA.2017.8212723.
- [34] L. Wang, L. Jiao, J. Li, and M. Muhlhauser, “Online Resource Allocation for Arbitrary User Mobility in Distributed Edge Clouds,” *Proc. - Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst.*, pp. 1281–1290, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICDCS.2017.30.
- [35] L. Jiao, A. M. Tulino, J. Llorca, Y. Jin, and A. Sala, “Smoothed Online Resource Allocation in Multi-Tier Distributed Cloud Networks,” *IEEEACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 2556–2570, 2017, doi: 10.1109/TNET.2017.2707142.
- [36] P. Mohanty, L. Kumar, M. Malakar, S. K. Vishwakarma, and M. Reza, “Dynamic resource allocation in Vehicular cloud computing systems using game theoretic based algorithm,” *PDGC 2018 - 2018 5th Int. Conf. Parallel Distrib. Grid Comput.*, no. Vc, pp. 476–481, 2018, doi: 10.1109/PDGC.2018.8745913.
- [37] H. Tang, C. Li, J. Bai, J. H. Tang, and Y. Luo, “Dynamic resource allocation strategy for latency-critical and computation-intensive applications in cloud-edge environment,” *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 134, pp. 70–82, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2018.11.011.
- [38] T. C. S. Xavier *et al.*, “Collaborative resource allocation for Cloud of Things systems,” *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 159, no. August 2019, p. 102592, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2020.102592.
- [39] R. K. Naha, S. Garg, A. Chan, and S. K. Battula, “Deadline-based dynamic resource allocation and provisioning algorithms in Fog-Cloud environment,” *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 104, pp. 131–141, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.future.2019.10.018.